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43 rescue members and 4 rescue dogs


Example: Deutsche Bank \$2640,000 and more.

Deeply thank you!

# Congratulations, Shinya Yamanaka on Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 

$\square$ Education
$\square \quad$ He received his M.D. at Kobe University in 1987 and his Ph.D. at Osaka City University Graduate School in 1993.

$\square \quad$ Professional career

- Between 1987 and 1989, Yamanaka was a Resident in orthopedic surgery at the National Osaka Hospital.
- During 1993-1995, he was a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Gladstone Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, which is affiliated with the University of California, San Francisco.
- During 1995-1996, he was a staff research investigator at the UCSF-affililated Gladstone Institute of Cardiovascular Disease.
- Between 1996 and 1999, he was an assistant professor at Osaka City University Medical School.
- During 1999-2003, he was an associate professor at the Nara Institute of Science and Technology. During 2003-2005, he was a professor at the Nara Institute of Science and Technology. Between 2004 and 2010, Yamanaka was a professor at the Institute for Frontier Medical Sciences. ${ }^{[9]}$
- Currently Yamanaka is the director and a professor at the Center for iPS Cell Research and Application in Kyoto University, Japan.
$\square \quad$ In 2006, he and his team generated Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells - pluripotent stem cells from adult mouse fibroblasts. In 2007, he and his team were able to generate Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells from human adult fibroblasts. $11[2 \mid[3]$


## NAIST?

Kenhanna Science City (NAIST, ATR, NICT, NTT,NEC...)


## About NAIST?

$\square$ Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan established 1991.

- Japanese national university for basic research and higher education.
- $1^{\text {st }}$ rank research evaluation among Japanese universities in \#papers, \#grand per faculty.
- Three graduate schools (No undergraduate school)
$\square$ Information Science
$\square$ Biological Science: Prof. Yamanaka IPS Cell.
- Material Science
- Sister school: JAIST, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology
$\square$ Graduate School of Information Science
- 20 laboratories
- 10 collaborative laboratories
(ATR, AIST, NEC, Panasonic, NTT, NICT, Fujitsu, Docomo, OMRON)


## NAIST Ranking

$\square$ Overall:Ranked 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$
Highest Evaluated University in Japan
based on data in Thomson Reuters""Essential Science Indicators"and published in"University Ranking 2010" by the leading Japanese newspaper"Asahi Shimbun"
$\square \quad$ in the top 5\% A+ Three research areas in the Graduate School of Information Science received top scores in a survey conducted by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
$\square$ Ranked 1st in "Research"and"Education"among all national universities in Japan published in the weekly magazine "Toyo Keiza"".
$\square \quad$ Number of Grants-in-Aid for scientific research Ranked 1st per faculty member*
$\square$ Grants-in-Aid for scientific research Ranked ${ }^{\text {st }}$ per faculty member*

## National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, NICT



About NICT？

1952
1979
Telecommunications
and Broadcasting
Satellite Organization

## 2004

## Nict

## National Institute of Information and Communications Technology

## Locations



## NICT Keihanna Research Laboratories

## (Open) since 1. April, 2008 <br> (Location) Kansai Science City <br> (Number of Staffs) about 160



## Overcome the barriers in ICT society

## (I) Barriers of language

R\&D on the multi-lingual technology
(II) Barriers of ability
spoken language and nonverbal interaction technology
(III) Barriers of information quality

Information analysis with information credibility criteria
(IV) Barriers between the real and the cyber world

Natual, real-time connections between the two worlds
(V) Barries of distance

Ultra-realistic communications to provide the feeling
of "being there" via all five senses, etc

Ultra-realistic communications to provide the feeling
of "being there" via all five senses, etc


## About ATR?

$\square \quad$ ATR: Advanced Telecommunication Research Institute International ATR was founded in March 1986.


## ATR Laboratories

$\square$ Brain Information Communication Research Labs Group

- Computational Neuroscience Lab.
- Cognitive Mechanisms Lab.
- Neural Information Analysis Lab.
$\square$ Social Media Research Labs. Group
- Intelligent Robotics and Communication Lab.
- Hiroshi Ishiguro Lab.
- Adaptive Communications Research Lab.
- Wave Engineering Lab.
$\square$ Spoken Language Communication Research Labs.
$\square$ Media Information Science Labs


## History of Speech Translation Research




## Source Coding

$\square$ Contents of the lecture

Information Theory:
Source Coding + Channel Coding + Encryption
$\square$ Goal:

- Understanding of Source Coding by theory and application
$\square$ Contents:
- Amount of information, modeling of information source
- Zero-memory source, Markov source, hidden Markov source
- Source coding theorem, compact codes
- Universal coding, rate distortion theory
- Source coding of analog signal, vector quantization
- Modeling and coding of language and speech


## Text book and references

$\square$ Norman Abramson: "Information Theory and Coding", McGraw-Hill, 1963
$\square$ A.Gersho, R.M.Gray: "Vector Quantization and Signal Compression", Kluwer Academic Publisher
$\square$ T.C.Bell, J.G.Cleary, I.H.Witten: "Text Compression", Prentice Hall

## Role of information theory

$\square \quad$ Information Theory:
Measure for Information Amount, Modeling of Information Source
$\square$ Claude Shannon:
"Mathematical Theory of Communication" (1948), Bell System Technical Journal

- "Shannon entitles his theory a mathematical theory of communication: Theory of carriers of information."
- "Theory about carriers of information-symbols and not with information itself."
- "The semantic aspects of communication are irrelevant to the engineering problems."


## Transmission model



Efficient usage of transmission channel
$\square$ Digital channel: Reduction of transmission codes
$\square$ Analog channel: Reduction of transmission time and frequency bands

Improve reliability
$\square$ Digital channel: Reduction of transmission errors
$\square$ Analog channel: Improve Signal to Noise Ratio

## Separate modeling


$\square$ Separate optimization: Source coding + Channel coding

## Amount of information

$\square$ Amount of Information:
Defined by statistical property of an overall set not by individual events.
$\square$ Statistical Structure

- Statistically definable Sets
=> Memoryless source, Markov source
- Non-statistical sets and unknown-structured sets
$\square$ Unknown-structured information sets
- Universal Coding
- Lempel Zip Coding
- Arithmetic Coding


## Hierarchical model of codes



## What is information

$\square$ Messages which reduce uncertainty

- Measurement of body temperature

Prediction whether he caught cold or not is possible.

- Weather forecast

Prediction of tomorrows weather is possible.
$\square$ Information theory:

- Measurement of information
- Higher efficiency and reliability of transmission


## Properties of information

$\square$ Non-negativity:
Information amount is non-negative. If probability of the event equals to 0 or 1, amount of information becomes 0 .

- Events which does surely happen or doesn't happen, don't have any additional information. The amount of information of these events is 0 .
- To know the events whose probabilities are $0<p<1$ bring certain amount of information since it reduces ambiguity.
$\square$ Monotonic decreasing:
The more amount of information the less probability the event has.
- Amount of information is bigger if the event is unexpected.


## Amount of information: Additivity

How much is the amount of information, $I(p q)$, of an joint event with probability $p$ and $q$ ?,
where,
$I(p)$ : amount of information of an event with probability $p$
$I(q)$ : amount of information of an event with probability $q$

$$
I(p q)=I(p)+I(q)
$$

means,
amount of information is same if given once or one by one.

## Amount of information

$\square$ Only function form which satisfies the above three properties is,

$$
I(p)=-\log (p) .
$$

Now, $I(P)$ is defined as amount of information.
$\square$ Units of amount of information

- $-\log _{2}(p)$ [bit]
$-\log _{e}(p){ }^{[\text {nat }]}$
- $-\log _{10}(p)^{\text {[dit] or [Hartley] }}$
$\square \quad$ If $p=0.5, I(p)$ is maximum. $->$ only valid for the average case!
$\square$ Amount of information by [bit] represents average number of [yes/no] questions to know what event has happened.


## What is coding?

| Decimal <br> number | Binary |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0000 |
| 1 | 0001 |
| 2 | 0010 |
| 3 | 0011 |
| 4 | 0100 |
| 5 | 0101 |
| 6 | 0110 |
| 7 | 0111 |
| 8 | 1000 |
| 9 | 1001 |

$\square$ Binary coding of the decimal digits.

- Message Symbols:

$$
0,1, \ldots, 9
$$

- Code word:

$$
0000,0001,0010, \ldots
$$

- Backward decoding is straightforward in this example.


## What is coding?

$\square$ A binary code.

| Message | Binary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Symbols | representatio |
| $n$ |  |
| s1 | 0 |
| s2 | 01 |
| s3 | 001 |
| s4 | 111 |

- Backward decoding is NOT straightforward.
- 111001 can be generated by " $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ " and " $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ "


## What is coding?

$\square$ Another binary code

- Use " 0 " as a separator.
- Backward decoding is unique and straightforward.


## One problem in coding

| Message | Binary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Symbols | representation |
| Sunny | $1 / 4$ |
| Cloudy | $1 / 4$ |
| Rainy | $1 / 4$ |
| Foggy | $1 / 4$ |

$\square$ Weather in San Francisco
$\square$ Code alpha:

- Two binary digits are used.
- "Sunny, Foggy, Foggy, Cloudy," comes to "00111101".
- Two binary digits are necessary to backward decoding.

| Message Codes <br> Symbols  | 00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sunny | 01 |
| Cloudy | 10 |
| Rainy | 11 |
| Foggy |  |

## One problem in coding

| Message <br> Symbols | Binary <br> representation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sunny | $1 / 4$ |
| Cloudy | $1 / 8$ |
| Rainy | $1 / 8$ |
| Smoggy | $1 / 2$ |


| Message | Codes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Symbols |  |
| Sunny | 10 |
| Cloudy | 110 |
| Rainy | 1110 |
| Smoggy | 0 |

- Weather in Los Angels
$\square$ Code beta:
- Two binary digits are used.
- Probabilities are non-uniform
- "Sunny,Smoggy,Smoggy, Cloudy" comes to "1000110".
- Waiting for 0 is necessary to backward decoding.
- Average code length $=17 / 8$

$$
<2 \text { binit. }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =2 \operatorname{Pr}(\text { Sunny })+3 \operatorname{Pr}(\text { Cloudy })+4 \operatorname{Pr}(\text { Rainy })+1 \operatorname{Pr}(\text { Smoggy }) \\
& =2 \frac{1}{4}+3 \frac{1}{8}+4 \frac{1}{8}+1 \frac{1}{2} \\
& =1 \frac{7}{8} \text { binits } / \text { message }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amount of information

$\square \quad$ TV: Black, white, and gray dots, with roughly 500 rows and 600 columns. Namely $500 \times 600=300,000$ dots may take on any one of 10 distinguishable brightness levels. $\left(p=1 / 10^{300,000}\right)$

$$
I(E)=300,000 \log 10 \approx 10^{6} \text { bits }
$$

$\square$ Radio: 10,000 words vocabulary announcer selects 1,000 words randomly.

$$
\left(p=1 / 10,000^{1,000}\right)
$$

$$
I(E)=1,000 \log 10,000 \approx 1.3 \times 10^{4} \text { bits }
$$

$\square$ TV picture is worth more 1,000 words.

## Average amount of information

$\square$ Amount of information is defined by,

$$
I(p)=-\log (p)
$$

$\square$ Average amount of information of the information source $A$ is defined by,

$$
H(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(e_{i}\right) I\left(e_{i}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(e_{i}\right) \log _{2} P\left(e_{i}\right)
$$

and, $H(A)$ satisfies,

$$
0 \leq H(A) \leq \log _{2} n
$$

Entropy $=$ Average amount of information (bit).

## Entropy

$\square$ Entropy represents ambiguity of the information source. When one message $e_{i}$ is received, ambiguity of the information $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A})$ is decreased.
This amount of decrease is equivalent to the amount of information of the message $e_{i}$.

## Properties of Entropy

$\square$ Now we have source alphabet $\{0,1\}$, and

$$
P(0)=\omega, P(1)=1-\omega=\bar{\omega} .
$$

Entropy function is like,


## Amount of information for multiple events

$\square$ Amount of information for multiple events can be defined by the decrease of the Entropy.
Now let $P\left(a_{i}\right)$ be a prior probability of a message $a_{i}$, and $P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{i}\right)$ be a posterior probability of $a_{i}$ given a message $b_{i}$. A prior Entropy of information source $A$ is defined by,

$$
H(A)=\sum_{A} P\left(a_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(a_{i}\right)}
$$

and, a posterior Entropy of information source $A$ given a message $b_{j}$ is defined by,

$$
H\left(A / b_{j}\right)=\sum_{A} P\left(a_{i} / b_{j}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(a_{i} / b_{j}\right)}
$$

Therefore,
Amount of information of multiple events

$$
=H(A)-H\left(A / b_{j}\right)
$$

## Conditional Entropy

$\square$ Conditional Entropy is expectation of $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(A \mid B)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} P\left(b_{j}\right) P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right) \log P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right) \\
=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} P\left(a_{i} b_{j}\right) \log P\left(a_{i} \mid b_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\square$ And following inequality holds,

$$
0 \leq H(A \mid B) \leq H(A B) \leq H(A)+H(B)
$$

## Mutual Information

$\square$ Amount of information of multiple events

$$
H(A)-H\left(A / b_{j}\right)
$$

$\square$ What is an amount of information if we know information source B not a single message of $b_{j}$ of $B$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(A ; B)=H(A)-H(A / B) \\
& =\sum_{A} P\left(a_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(a_{i}\right)}-\sum_{A, B} P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(a_{i} / b_{j}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{A, B} P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \log \frac{P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)}{P\left(a_{i}\right) P\left(b_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B})$ is called "Mutual Information".

## Joint Entropy

$\square$ Entropy of joint information source $A$ and $B$ is defined by,

$$
H(A, B)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \log P\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)
$$

## Mutual Information

$\square$ Mutual Information I(A;B) holds,

$$
0 \leq I(A ; B) \leq H(A)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(A ; B) & =I(B ; A) \\
& =H(B)-H(B \mid A) \\
& =H(A)+H(B)-H(A, B)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Mutual Information (example)

| A B | Play $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$ | Not Play $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Win $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ | $0.42(0.6)$ | $0.28(0.93)$ | 0.7 |
| Lose $\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)$ | $0.28(0.4)$ | $0.02(0.07)$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 |

$\square \quad$ Initial Entropy of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A})$ is,

$$
H(A)=-0.7 \log 0.7-0.3 \log 0.3=0.88
$$

The winning rate after we know he plays a game becomes 0.6 . The Entropy $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is,

$$
H\left(A \mid b_{1}\right)=-0.6 \log 0.6-0.4 \log 0.4=0.97
$$

Entropy increases by knowing the information of bi.
If we know he doesn't play, the winning rate is 0.93 . This time, Entropy decreses.

$$
H\left(A \mid b_{2}\right)=-0.93 \log 0.93-0.07 \log 0.07=0.17
$$

Now mutual information is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(A ; B)=H(A)-H(A \mid B) \\
& =0.88-(0.7 \times 0.97+0.3 \times 0.17)=0.88-0.79=0.09 \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Goal of 1st day

## ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA

## Credibility Increased information Source



## Credibility Decreased Information Source



## Trends of Social Media Users



## Weekly Trends of \#users



Facebook-Brand
$\square$ mixi-Brand
$\square$ Twitter.com - Brand

## Models for information sources



## Models for information sources

$\square$ Zero-memory information source:
Source alphabets in $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$ are mutually independent and independent to alphabets in history. Zero-memory information source is completely described by the source alphabet S and their probabilities, $P\left(s_{1}\right), P\left(s_{2}\right), \ldots, P\left(s_{q}\right)$.
$\square$ Markov information source:
Probability of the source alphabet $S_{i}$ is described by previous m alphabets. If $m=1$, it is called $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov Model. Probabilities of the alphabet is described by, $P\left(s_{i} \mid s_{j j}, s_{j 2}, \ldots s_{j m}\right) i=1,2, . . q ; j_{q}=1,2, \ldots, q$.

## Models of information source

$\square$ Stationary information source:
Probabilities of the specific source alphabets are invariant to time shift.
$\square$ Ergodic information source:
Observed source alphabet sequence becomes same as a representative one with probability 1 , when we observe the source alphabet sequence for long time.

## Zero-memory information source

## Source $\longrightarrow s_{i}, s_{j}, \ldots$

$\square$ Zero-memory information source:
Successive symbols emitted from the source are
statistically independent, which is described by source alphabet $S$ and the probabilities with which the symbols occur:
$\square$ An amount of information for one symbol $s_{i}$ is,
$\square$ An average amount of information for information source S is,
$\square$ Entropy $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S})$ of zero-information information source is, $\quad \sum_{S} P\left(s_{i}\right) I\left(s_{i}\right)$

$$
H(s) \equiv \sum_{s} P\left(s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i}\right)}
$$

## Examples

$\square \quad$ Source $S$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}, P\left(s_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}, P\left(s_{2}\right)=P\left(s_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \\
& H(S)=\frac{1}{2} \log 2+\frac{1}{4} \log 4+\frac{1}{4} \log 4=\frac{3}{2} \text { bits }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ If $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is measured in r -ary units, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(S)=\sum_{S} P\left(s_{i}\right) \log _{r} \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i}\right)} r \text {-ary units } \\
& H_{r}(S)=\frac{H(S)}{\log r}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some properties of Entropy

 $y=x-1$ lies above $y=\ln x$$\ln x \leq x-1$ with equality if, and only if $x=1$
$\ln \frac{1}{x} \geq 1-x$
$x_{i} \geq 0, y \geq 0$, for $i$ and $j$,
$\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_{j}=1$
$\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}}=\frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \ln \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}}$


Fuone 2-2. "Ithe mitural lugarithus of $z_{i}$ wit $z-1$.

## Some properties of Entropy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \bigcirc \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}} & \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{1}\left(\frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}}-1\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\ln 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq 0 \text { or, } \\
\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{1}{x_{i}} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{1}{y_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality if, and only if, $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $i$.
This is called Jensen's inequality.

## Some properties of Entropy

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(s) & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}} \\
\log q-H(s) & =\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log q-\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log q P_{i} \\
& =-\log e \sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \ln q P_{i} \\
\log q-H(s) & \geq \log e \sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i}\left(1-\frac{1}{q P_{i}}\right) \\
& =\log e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i}-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{P_{i}}{P_{i}}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\log e\left(\sum^{q} P_{i}-\frac{1}{q} \sum^{q} \frac{P_{i}}{n}\right) \quad \text { to, } \log q \text {. Equality holds if, and }
$$

$$
\text { only if, } P i=1 / q \text { for all } i \text {. }
$$

## Properties of Entropy

$\square$ Again, we have source alphabet $\{0,1\}$, and

$$
P(0)=\omega, P(1)=1-\omega=\bar{\omega} .
$$

Entropy function is like,


## Extensions of a zero-memory source

$\square$ Extensions to blocks of symbols.
For instance, suppose two binary source alphabet case, $00,01,10$, and 11 .
$\square$ Definition:
Let S be a zero-memory information source with source alphabet $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$ and with the probability of $s_{i}$ equal to $P_{i}$. Then the n-th extension of $S, S_{n}$, is a zeromemory source with $q^{n}$ symbols $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{q^{n}}$.

Each $\quad \sigma_{i} \quad$ corresponds to some sequence of n of the $s_{i} \cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$, the probability of $\sigma_{i}$, is just the probability of the corresponding sequence of $s_{i}^{\prime}$ s. That is, if $\sigma_{i}$ corresponds to $\left(s_{i j}, s_{i 2}, \ldots, s_{i n}\right)$, then $P\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=P_{i n}, P_{i 2}, \ldots, P_{i n}$.

## Extension of zero-memory source

$\square$ Entropy:

$$
H\left(s^{n}\right)=\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(s^{n}\right)=\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}} P_{i_{2}} \cdots P_{i_{i n}}} \quad P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}}+\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P_{i 2}}+\cdots+\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}}=\sum_{s^{n}} P_{i_{1}} P_{i_{2}} \cdots P_{i_{n}} \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{q} P_{i_{1}} \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{q} P_{i_{2}} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{q} P_{i_{3}} \ldots \sum_{i_{n}}^{q} P_{i_{n}}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i_{i}=1}^{q} P_{i_{1}} \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}}=\sum_{S}^{q} P_{i_{1}} \log \frac{1}{P_{i_{1}}}
$$

$$
H\left(s^{n}\right)=n H(s)
$$

$$
=H(s)
$$

## Markov Information Source

$\square$ A more general type of information source with q symbols than the zero-memory source is one in which the occurrence of a source symbol $s_{i}$ may depend on a finite number $m$ of preceding symbols. Such a source, $m$ th-order Markov source, is defined by giving the source alphabet $S$ and the set of conditional probabilities.

$$
P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, q ; j_{p}=1,2, \ldots, q
$$

$\square$ State: the probability of emitting a given symbol is known if we know the $m$ preceding symbols. We call the $m$ preceding symbols as a state of the $m$ th-order Markov source.

## Markov information source



Ergodic Markov source
Non-Stationary
Non-ergodic Markov source

## Entropy for Markov source

$\square \quad$ If we are in the state specified by $\left(s_{j 1}, s_{j 2}, \ldots, s_{j m}\right)$, then the conditional probability of receiving symbol $s_{i}$ is $P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j 2} 2, . ., s_{j m}\right)$. The information we obtain if $s_{i}$ occurs while we are in state $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j} 1}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{j} 2}, . ., \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{jm}}\right)$ is,

$$
I\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)=\log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)}
$$

$\square \quad$ amount of information per symbol while we are in state $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j} 1}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{j} 2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{jm}}\right)$ is given by,

$$
H\left(S / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)=\sum_{S} P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) I\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)
$$

$\square$ If we average this quantity over the $q_{m}$ possible states, we obtain the average amount of information by a product of the above entropy and steady state probability, namely the entropy of the $m$ th-order Markov source $S$.

$$
H(S)=\sum_{s^{m}} P\left(s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) H\left(S / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)
$$

## Entropy of Markov source

$\square$ Entropy of mth-order Markov source is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(S)=\sum_{s^{m}} P\left(s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) \sum_{S} P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s^{m+1}} P\left(s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s^{m+1}} P\left(s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ If $S$ is zero-memory source,

$$
P\left(s_{i} / s_{j_{1}}, s_{j_{2}}, \ldots, s_{j_{m}}\right)=P\left(s_{i}\right)
$$

## Example

| Probabilities for the Markov source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flich/s, | $\mu\left[a_{p,} 5_{n}\right]$ |  |
| 000 | 0. $\mathrm{B}^{\text {H }}$ | 5/14 | 4/14 |
| 0 OH | 0.2 | 5/14 | 1/14 |
| 010 | D. 5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 011 | 0.5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 100 | 4, | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 101 | 0.5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 110 | 4.2 | 5/14 | 1/14 |
| 111 | 4.8 | 5/14 | 4/14 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \times \frac{1}{1} \log \frac{1}{0.8}+2 \times \frac{1}{14} \log _{0} \frac{1}{0.2}+4 \times 14 \log _{4} \frac{1}{0.5} \\
& \text { - 0.E1 bit/binis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adjoint source

$\square$ Definition: Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$ be the source alphabet of an mth-order Markov source, and let $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$ be the first-order symbol probabilities of the source. The adjoint source to $S$, written $\bar{S}$, is the zero-memory information source with source alphabet identical with that of $S$, and with symbol probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$, here the following relationship holds,

$$
H(S) \leq H(\bar{S})
$$

## Adjoint source

$\square \quad$ Let $S$ be a $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov source,

$$
\sum_{S^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{P\left(s_{j}\right) P\left(s_{i}\right)}{P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right)}
$$

By applying Jensen's inequation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{P\left(s_{j}\right) P\left(s_{i}\right)}{P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right)}=\sum_{s^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{P\left(s_{i}\right)}{P\left(s_{j} \mid s_{i}\right)} \leq 0 \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}} \leq 0 \text { or }, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{1}{x_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \log \frac{1}{y_{i}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
H(S) & =\sum_{s^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{j} \mid s_{i}\right)} \leq \sum_{s^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s_{i}} \sum_{s_{j}} P\left(s_{j} \mid s_{i}\right) P\left(s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s_{i}} P\left(s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i}\right)} \\
& =H(\bar{S})
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension of a Markov source

$\square$ Definition: Let $S$ be an $n$ th-order Markov information source with source alphabet $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}\right)$ and conditional symbol probabilities $P\left(s_{i} / s_{j 1}, s_{j 2}, \ldots, s_{j n}\right)$. Then the $n$th extension of $S, S^{n}$, is a $\mu$ th-order Markov source with $q^{n}$ symbols, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{q^{n}}$. Each $\sigma_{i}$ corresponds to some sequence of $n$ of the $s_{i j}$ and the conditional symbol probabilities of $\sigma_{i}$ are $P\left(\sigma_{i} \mid \sigma_{i,}, \sigma_{i_{2}}, \ldots, \sigma_{j_{\mu}}\right)$. $\mu$ is given by $\mu=[\mathrm{m} / n]$, here [] is a minimum integer number bigger than $m / n$. Entropy is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(S^{n}\right)=\sum_{s^{n}} \sum_{S^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(\sigma_{i} \mid \sigma_{j}\right)} \\
& H\left(S^{n}\right)=n H(S) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension of a Markov source

$\square$ Example:

$$
m=1, n=3, \mu=[m / n]=1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sigma_{i} \mid \sigma_{j}\right)=P\left(s_{t+2}, s_{t+1}, s_{t} \mid s_{t-3}, s_{t-2}, s_{t-1}\right) \\
& =P\left(s_{t+2}, s_{t+1}, s_{t} \mid s_{t-1}\right) \\
& H\left(S^{n}\right)=\sum_{S^{n}} \sum_{S^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(\sigma_{i} / \sigma_{j}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s^{2 n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(\sigma_{i} / \sigma_{j}\right)} \\
& H\left(S^{n}\right)=\sum_{s^{2 n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{1}} / s_{j}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{s^{2 n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{1}} / s_{i_{2}}\right)}+ \\
& \cdots+\sum_{s^{2 n}} P\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{n}} / s_{i_{i-1}}\right)} \\
& =n H(S) \\
& P\left(\sigma_{i} \mid \sigma_{j}\right)=P\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{n}} \mid s_{j}\right) \\
& =P\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{n}}, s_{j}\right) / P\left(s_{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{P\left(s_{j}\right)} P\left(s_{i_{n}} \mid s_{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, s_{i,}, s_{j}\right) P\left(s_{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, s_{i,}, s_{j}\right) \\
& =P\left(s_{i_{n}} \mid s_{i_{n-1}}\right) P\left(s_{i_{n-1}} \mid s_{i_{n-2}}\right) \cdots P\left(s_{i_{2}} \mid s_{i_{1}}\right) P\left(s_{i_{1}} \mid s_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adjoint source of extended Markov source

$\square \quad$ Adjoint source of extended Markov source, $\overline{s^{n}}$.
Let $P\left(\sigma_{1}\right), P\left(\sigma_{2}\right), \ldots, P\left(\sigma_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ be the first-order symbol probabilities of the $\sigma_{i}$ symbols of the $n$th extension of the first-order Markov source. Since $\sigma_{i}$ corresponds to the sequence $\left(s_{i}, s_{i}, \ldots, s_{i_{n}}\right)$, we see that $P\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ may also be thought of as the nth-order joint probability of the $S_{i \vec{k}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)=\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(\sigma_{i}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(s_{i,}, s_{i,}, \ldots, s_{i n}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i,}, s_{i}, \ldots, s_{i n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If S is a first-order Markov source.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{n}}\right)=P\left(s_{i_{1}}\right) P\left(s_{i_{2}} / s_{i_{1}}\right) P\left(s_{i_{3}} / s_{i_{2}}\right) \cdots P\left(s_{i_{n}} / s_{i_{n-1}}\right) \\
& H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)=\sum_{s^{n}} P\left(s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}}, \ldots, s_{i_{n}}\right)\left[\log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{1}}\right)}+\log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{2}} / s_{i_{1}}\right)}+\cdots+\log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{i_{n}} / s_{i_{n-1}}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad=H(\bar{S})+(n-1) H(S) \text { or } \\
& H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)=n H(S)+[H(\bar{S})-H(S)]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adjoint source of extended Markov source

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)=n H(S)+\varepsilon_{m} \\
& \frac{H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)}{n}=H(S)+\frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{n} \quad H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right) \geq H\left(S^{n}\right)=n H(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality becomes less important as $n$ becomes larger.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)}{n}=H(S)
$$

For larger $n$, the Markov constraints on the symbols from $S^{n}$ becomes less and less important. The adjoint of the nth extension of $S$ is not the same as the $n$th extension of the adjoint of $S$.

$$
H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right) \neq H\left(\bar{S}^{n}\right)
$$

If $\bar{S}$ is a zero-memory source,

$$
H\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)=n H(\bar{S})
$$

## Example

| Probabilities for the Markov source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Pr 3 Ba | Plui/s, |  |  |
| 000 | $0 . \mathrm{B}$ | 5/14 | 4/14 |
| 0 OH | 0.2 | 5/14 | 1/14 |
| 010 | D. 5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 011 | 0. 5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 100 | 4, | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 101 | 0.5 | 1/14 | 1/14 |
| 110 | 4.2 | 5/14 | 1/14 |
| 111 | 0.8 | 5/14 | 4/14 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \times \frac{1}{1} \log \frac{1}{0.8}+2 \times \frac{1}{14} \log _{0} \frac{1}{0.2}+4 \times 14 \log _{4} \frac{1}{0.5} \\
& \text { - 0.E1 bit/binis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(S) & =0.81 \mathrm{bit} \\
H(\bar{S}) & =1.00 \mathrm{bit} \\
H\left(S^{2}\right) & =2 H(S)=1.62 \mathrm{bits} \\
H\left(\overline{S^{2}}\right) & =\sum_{S^{2}} P\left(s_{j}, s_{i}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(s_{j}, s_{k}\right)}= \\
& =1.86 \mathrm{bits} \\
H\left(\overline{S^{3}}\right) & =2.66 \mathrm{bits} \\
H\left(\overline{S^{3}}\right) & =3.47 \mathrm{bits}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note how the sequence approaches $H(S)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(\bar{S})=1.00 \mathrm{bit}, \quad \frac{H\left(\overline{S^{2}}\right)}{2}=0.93 \mathrm{bit} \\
& \frac{H\left(\overline{S^{3}}\right)}{3}=0.89 \mathrm{bit}, \quad \frac{H\left(\overline{S^{4}}\right)}{4}=0.87 \mathrm{bit}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: English

$\square 27$ symbols: 26 alphabets + space

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(S) & =\log 27 \\
& =4.75 \text { bits } / \text { symbol }
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
H(S) & =\sum_{S} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}} \\
& =4.03 \quad \text { bits } / \text { symbol }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Fuune 2-7. Frat approximethon to Engith.

| Syubut | Produbutity | Symbal | Proluhitio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spree | 0.1859 | N | 0.0574 |
| A | 0,0042 | 0 | 0.065 |
| B | 0.0127 | 1 | 0.0152 |
| 0 | 0.0218 | Q | 0.0008 |
| D | 0.0517 | H | 0.01048 |
| E | 0.1031 | 8 | 0.0614 |
| $F$ | 0.0308 | T | 0.0706 |
| 0 | 0.0152 | U | 0.0288 |
| H | 0.0407 | $Y$ | 0.0085 |
| 1 | 0.0575 | W | 0.0175 |
| J | 0,0008 | X | 0.0013 |
| K | 0.0040 | Y | 0.0104 |
| L | 0.0421 | 8 | 0.0005 |
| M | 0.0198 |  |  |

## Example: English

$\square \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov source:

$$
H(S)=\sum_{S^{2}} P(i, j) \log \frac{1}{P(i / j)}=3.32 \quad \text { bits } / \text { symbol }
$$
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Paous 2-8. Beoond approximutiun tu English,
$\square \quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ order Markov source
1ANKS_CAN_OU_ANG_RLER_THATHED_OP_ 10 _S HOR_OF_TO_HAVEAEM_A_I_MAND_AND_BUT-


Funde 2-9. Third approximulion to Euglifh.

## Example: English

$\square$ Word-based zero-memory source

```
HEPGESENTINL AND gPELDILY IS AN GOOD AFL
OL COME GAN DIPFERENT NATURAL HERE HE
THE A IN CAME THE TO OF TO EXPBIET
GREAY COME IOO FULNISHES THE LNNE MES-
SAGE HAD BE THE&E
```


$\square$ Word-based $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov source

```
TIE HEAD AND IN FHONTAL ATPAOK ON NN
HNGLISF WRHIER THAT' THE CHATAGIRE OF
"HHS POINJ' 18 THERTEOLE ANOTHENE METHOD
MOL IHE LTTTRIES THAT TILE "IME OP NHO
EYER TOLD THE PROBLEM FOR AN UNEX.
|EOLED
```

Fioure 2-11. Fith npproximation to Eeghan.

## Estimation of parameters of Markov source

$\square$ Estimation of $P\left(s_{i} / s_{j}\right)$ from samples emitted from the information source.


Regular $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov source


Non-regular $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov source

## Estimation of parameters of Markov source

$\square \quad$ The state transition sequence of the Markov source associated the emitted output symbols is uniquely determined. We maximize the following probability $P$, if $P$ is a joint probability of $N$ observed samples.

$$
P=W_{0} P_{A}(a)^{c_{1}} P_{A}(b)^{c_{2}} P_{B}(a)^{c_{3}} P_{B}(b)^{c_{4}} F
$$

,where $W_{0}, F$ are initial and final state probabilities, respectively. $P_{A}(a)=P(a \mid a)$ is conditional probability of state transition.
Now find conditional probabilities which maximize $\log P$ under the following constraints by the Lagrangean method.

$$
\sum c_{i}=N, P_{A}(a)+P_{A}(b)=1, P_{B}(a)+P_{B}(b)=1
$$

The optimal conditional probabilities are given by,

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{A}(a)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}, P_{A}(b)=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2}} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(a)=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{3}+c_{4}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(b)=\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}+c_{4}}
$$

## Estimation of parameters of Markov source

$\square \quad$ The Lagrangean Method:

$$
\boldsymbol{P}=W_{0} \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(a)^{c 1} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(b)^{c 2} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(a)^{c 3} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(b)^{c 4} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}
$$

Our aim is to maximize the above objective function under constraints
of $P_{A}(a)+P_{A}(b)=1, P_{B}^{(a)+} P_{B}^{(b)=1}$. For simplicity, we maximize the $Q=\log P$ function instead.

$$
Q=\log P+\lambda_{1}\left(P_{A}(a)+P_{A}(b)-1\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(P_{B}(a)+P_{B}(b)-1\right)
$$

By taking derivative for each parameter, now we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial P_{A}(a)}=\frac{C_{1} \cdot P}{P_{A}(a)}+\lambda_{1}=0, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial P_{B}(a)}=\frac{C_{3} \cdot P}{P_{B}(a)}+\lambda_{2}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial P_{A}(b)}=\frac{C_{2} \cdot P}{P_{A}(b)}+\lambda_{1}=0, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial P_{B}(b)}=\frac{C_{4} \cdot P}{P_{B}(b)}+\lambda_{2}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=P_{A}(a)+P_{A}(b)-1=0 \\
& \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{2}}=P_{B}(a)+P_{B}(b)-1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain,

$$
P_{A}(a)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}, P_{B}(a)=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{3}+c_{4}},
$$

$$
P_{A}(b)=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}, P_{B}(b)=\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}+c_{4}}
$$

## Estimation of parameters of Markov source

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{A}(a)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(a)=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{3}+c_{4}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(b)=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{B}(b)=\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}+c_{4}}
$$

$\square \quad$ These are nothing but a relative frequencies of symbols sequences observed through state sequences. Now let $N_{A}$ be a frequency of state $A$ and $N_{A}(b)$ a frequency of the symbol $b$ produced at state $A$.
$p(b \mid a)$ can be calculated by,

$$
P_{A}(b)=p(b \mid a)=\frac{N(a, b)}{N(a)}=\frac{N_{A}(b)}{N_{A}}
$$

$\square \quad$ Let $P(A, a)$ be a joint probability of symbol a produced at the state $A$, and $P(A)$ be a probability of state $A$.

$$
P_{A}(a)=p(a \mid a)=\frac{P(A, a)}{P(A)}
$$

## State transition matrix

$\square$ Definition: Matrix representation of conditional probabilities.

$$
\left.P=\left[\begin{array}{l}
p(a \mid a) p(b \mid a) \\
p(a \mid b)
\end{array}\right) p(b \mid b)\right]
$$

$\square \quad$ Let $P(a), P(b)$ be state transition matrices for $\operatorname{symbol} a$ and $b$, and let $A, W_{0}=[1,0], W_{F}=[1,1]$ be an initial state, an initial state probability and a final state probability.
$\square$

$$
P(a)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p(a \mid a) & 0 \\
p(a \mid b) & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad P(b)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & p(b \mid a) \\
0 & p(b \mid b)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\square$ Now we can calculate a probability for the observed symbols with arbitrary length.

$$
M=W_{0} P\left(S_{1}\right) P\left(S_{2}\right), \ldots, P\left(S_{m}\right) W_{F}^{t}, \quad S_{i}=\{a, b\}
$$

## State transition matrix

$\square \quad$ Limit distribution: Let $W_{0}$ be an initial state probability vector with an initial probability $\pi_{i}$ at state $i$, time $n=0$, and let $P$ and $W_{n}=\left[\pi_{1}^{(n)}, \pi_{2}^{(n)}, \ldots, \pi_{k}^{(n)}\right]$ be a state probability vector at state $j$, time $n$. Limit distribution is given by,

$$
\bar{W}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{0} P^{n}
$$

## Regular Markov source

$\square$ Definition:

- $p^{n}$ converges to an unique matrix $P^{\infty}$ as $n$ becomes large.
- Each column vector converges to an unique state probability vector $W^{\infty}$, where each element is positive.
- Steady state distribution exists uniquely and is equal to $W^{\infty}$.
$\square \quad$ Steady state distribution is $Z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$, which satisfies,

$$
Z P=Z, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} Z_{i}=1
$$

$\square$ Example:
The steady state vector is,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.7 & 0.3 \\
0.2 & 0.8
\end{array}\right]
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& 0.7 Z_{1}+0.2 z_{2}=Z_{1} \\
& 0.3 z_{1}+0.8 z_{2}=Z_{2} \\
& Z_{1}=0.4, Z_{2}=0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(a \mid a)=P(a \mid A)=1 / 4, P(b \mid a)=P(b \mid A)=3 / 4, \\
& P(a \mid b)=P(a \mid B)=1 / 2, P(b \mid b)=P(b \mid B)=1 / 2 \\
& P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P(a \mid A) & P(b \mid A) \\
P(a \mid B) & P(b \mid B)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 4 & 3 / 4 \\
1 / 2 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& \left(Z_{a}, Z_{b}\right)=\left(Z_{a}, Z_{b}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 4 & 3 / 4 \\
1 / 2 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { Bow we have, } \quad Z_{a}=P(A)=\frac{2}{5}, Z_{b}=P(B)=\frac{3}{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Entropy is given by, $H(s)=\sum_{s^{2}} P\left(S_{i} \mid S_{j}\right) P\left(S_{j}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(S_{\mid} \mid S_{j}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(a \mid A) P(A) \log \frac{1}{P(a \mid A)}+P(b \mid A) P(A) \log \frac{1}{P(b \mid A)} \\
& +P(a \mid B) P(B) \log \frac{1}{P(a \mid B)}+P(b \mid B) P(B) \log \frac{1}{P(b \mid B)}=0.92
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

$\square$ Entropy of the extended Markov source is,

$$
H(\bar{S})=\frac{2}{5} \log \frac{1}{2 / 5}+\frac{3}{5} \log \frac{1}{3 / 5}=0.97
$$

## Goal of $2^{\text {nd }}$ day

## Hidden Markov information source

$\square \quad$ Information source with k symbols can be represented by $n$th Markov source with $k^{n}$ states.
If we merge states which have similar behavior, we can have a non-deterministic automata. This is called a hidden Markov source model.
The hidden Markov source model doesn't have unique state sequence for the observed symbol sequence.


## Hidden Markov source

$\square \quad$ Definition: Non-deterministic probabilistic automata or Markov source model. The unique state sequence cannot be obtained by observed symbol sequences.

$\square$ If we let an initial state be $q_{1}$, an final state be $q_{3}$, the symbol sequence abab can be produced by the following state sequences.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=q_{1} \oplus q_{1} \oplus q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3^{\prime}} \cdot Q_{2}=q_{1} \oplus q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3} \\
& Q_{3}=q_{1} \oplus q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3} \oplus q_{3^{\prime}} \cdot Q_{4}=q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3} \\
& Q_{5}=q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3} \oplus q_{3^{\prime}} \cdot Q_{6}=q_{1} \oplus q_{2} \oplus q_{3} \oplus q_{3} \oplus q_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hidden Markov source

$P\left(Q_{1}\right)$ can be calculated by

$$
P_{Q_{1}}=0.3 * 0.7 * 0.3 * 0.3 * 0.7 * 0.8 * 0.5 * 0.6=0.0031752
$$

Now we have,

$$
P=\sum P_{Q_{1}}=0.734832
$$

$\square \quad$ [Forward calculation]: Now let the observed symbol sequence for the source,

$$
X=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{I} .
$$

$\square$ We try to estimate probability of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{M})$ assuming a hidden Markov information source. An initial and final probabilities holds,

$$
q_{0}^{(k)} \in I, q_{I}^{(k)} \in F
$$

$\square \quad$, where $I$ and $F$ are an initial and final state set.

## Probability of observed symbol sequence

$\square \quad$ The probability of the observed symbol sequence x on the model M is given by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{Q_{k}} \pi_{0}^{(k)} \prod_{i=1}^{1} a_{q_{1+9}(k)}^{(k)} \cdot b_{q+19}^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{Q_{k}} P\left(X, Q_{k} \mid M\right)=\sum_{Q_{k}} P\left(X \mid Q_{k}\right) P\left(Q_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we apply $1^{\text {st }}$ order Markov assumption,

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(Q_{k}\right) & =\prod_{i} P\left(q_{i}^{(k)} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)}\right) \\
P\left(X \mid Q_{k}\right) & =\prod_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow q_{i}^{(k)}\right) \\
P(X \mid M) & =\sum_{Q_{k}} \prod_{i} P\left(X_{i} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow q_{i}^{(k)}\right) \prod_{i} P\left(q_{i}^{(k)} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{Q_{k}} \prod_{i} P\left(q_{i}^{(k)} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)}\right) P\left(X_{i} \mid q_{i-1}^{(k)} \rightarrow q_{i}^{(k)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{Q_{k}} \prod_{i} a_{q_{i-1} q_{i}}^{(k)} b_{q_{i-1}}^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hidden Markov source

$\square \quad$ Now let $\pi_{i}:\left(\sum_{i} \pi_{i}=1\right)$ be probabilities of the initial state, the probability of observed symbool sequence given the model is,

$$
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{\text {all }_{k}} \pi_{0}^{(k)} \prod_{i=1}^{I} a^{(k)}{ }_{q_{i-1}, q_{i}} \cdot b^{(k)}{ }_{q_{i-1}, q_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

and, let forward probabilities in the following,

$$
\alpha(i, 0)=\pi_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2 \ldots S
$$

we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha(i, t)=\sum_{j} \alpha(j, t-1) \cdot a_{j i} \cdot b_{j i}\left(x_{t}\right) \\
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{i, i \in F} \alpha(i, I)
\end{array}
$$

## Probability of observed symbol sequence

$\square$ If we apply a forward probability $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(i, t)=\sum_{j} \alpha(i, t-1) a_{j i} b_{j i}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{i \in F} \alpha(i, I)
\end{gathered}
$$

and if we apply a backward probability $\beta$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta(i, t)=\sum_{j} a_{i j} b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta(j, t+1) \\
P(X \mid M)=\sum_{i} \beta(i, 0) \pi_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Trellis calculation

$\square$ Three paths:

$$
a b c+d e c+d f g
$$

## Parameter estimation of HMM source

$\square$ State transition sequence cannot be determined uniquely in the HMM while the symbol sequence is observed. Once number of transitions between states is obtained, state transition probabilities and emission probabilities can be estimated easily.
$\square \quad$ EM (Expectation and Maximization) algorithm: Iterative algorithm for parameter estimation.

- Expectation Step:

Find state sequence to observed sequence based on the assumed HMM model parameters.

- Maximization Step:

Estimate HMM parameters along the state sequences, which maximize the probability to observed symbol sequence.
,here HMM parameters include state transition parameters and emission parameters.

## EM algorithm

$\square$ Leonard Baum proved the following important inequation.

$$
P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \geq P_{\theta}(X) \quad \text { with equality if, and only if } \quad \hat{\theta}=\theta . \cdots(1)
$$

, where $\theta$ is an assumed HMM parameter set, $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimated HMM parameter set by EM algorithm.
$\square \operatorname{Let} A=\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ be a state sequence estimated by the observed symbol sequence. We modify the objective function as follows,

$$
P_{\hat{\theta}}(X)=P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \frac{P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)}{P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)}=\frac{P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)}{P_{\hat{\theta}}(A \mid X)} \cdots(2)
$$

by taking logarithm,

$$
\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X)=\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)-\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(A \mid X) \cdots(3)
$$

Now we take expectation, $E_{\theta}[]_{A \mid X}$ over estimated state sequences,

$$
E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X)\right]_{A \mid X}=\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X)=\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \cdots(4)
$$

## EM algorithm

If we substitute (4) with (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) & =E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X)\right]_{A \mid X} \\
& =E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)\right]_{A \mid X}-E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(A \mid X)\right]_{A \mid X} \\
& =\sum_{a_{j}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \cdots(5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we recall Jensen ${ }^{a_{s}}$ s inequality.

$$
\int_{R} f(x) \log f(x) d x \geq \int_{R} f(x) \log g(x) d x \text { with equality if, and only if } \mathrm{f}(x)=g(x)
$$

,where $\mathrm{f}(x), g(x)$ are probability density function.
Apply Jensen's inequality to the second term in the right side .

$$
\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \leq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \cdots(6)
$$

,with equality if, and only if

$$
P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right)=P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right), \quad \text { that is, } \hat{\theta}=\theta
$$

## EM algorithm

Now we have,

$$
\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \geq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right)
$$

If we set $1^{\text {st }}$ term in right side to be as follows,

Namely, $\quad \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i}, X\right) \geq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i}, X\right) \cdots(7)$

$$
E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(A, X)\right]_{A \mid X} \geq E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\theta}(A, X)\right]_{A \mid X}, \cdots(8)
$$

Equation (5) holds,

$$
\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \geq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \cdots(9)
$$

## EM algorithm

$\square \quad$ In summary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log & P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \\
& =\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i}, X\right)-\sum_{a_{i}} P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \log P_{\theta}\left(a_{i} \mid X\right) \\
& =\log P_{\theta}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square \quad$ If equation (7) holds, we obtain parameters which satisfy,

$$
\log P_{\hat{\theta}}(X) \geq \log P_{\theta}(X)
$$

## Parameter estimation by EM algorithm

$\square \quad$ As in the previous slides, parameter estimation can be achieved by maximizing $E_{\theta}\left[\log P_{\theta}(A, X)\right]_{A \mid X}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \equiv \sum_{a_{k}} P\left(a_{k} \mid X\right) \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{k}, X\right) \\
& =\sum_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)} \log P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{k}, X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

, where $\frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)}$ can be calculated using parameter $\theta$.

- Numerator of $\frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)}$ is a joint probability of events of observing $X$ and state sequence $a_{k}$.
- Denominator of $\frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)}$ is a probability of observing $X$ based on the HMM.


## Parameter estimation by EM algorithm

$\square$ Now, we have $P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{k}, X\right)$ by counting state transitions along the state sequence $a_{k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\hat{\theta}}\left(a_{k}, X\right) & =\pi_{0}^{(k)} \prod_{i=I}^{I} a_{q_{i}-1 q_{i}}^{(k)} b_{q_{i}-1 q_{i}}^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\pi_{0}^{(k)} a_{i j q_{i}}^{(k) c_{i j}} b_{i j}^{(k) d_{i j}}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

, where $c_{i j}$ and $d_{i j}$ are counts of state transition $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right)$, respectively. Then E can be re-written by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\sum_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)} \log \pi_{0}^{(k)} a_{i j}^{(k) c_{i}} b_{i j}^{(k) d_{i j}}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\log \pi_{0}^{(k) \Sigma_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)} a_{i j}^{(k) \sum_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)} c_{c_{j}}} b_{i j}^{(k) \Sigma_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right)}{P(X)} d_{d_{i j}}}\left(x_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

if we let $c_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right) c_{i j}^{(k)}}{P(X)}, d_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{a_{k}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right) d_{i j}^{(k)}}{P(X)}$, we have $E$ as follows.

$$
E=\log \pi_{0}^{\prime(k)} a_{i j}^{c^{\prime}} b_{i j}^{d^{d^{\prime}}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

## Parameter estimation by EM algorithm

$\square \quad$ This is nothing but a probability function of a Markov source. Thus we can obtain parameters by maximization of $E$, with $\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{i j}}=0$.
For $a_{i j f_{i j}^{\prime}}=\sum_{a_{i}} \frac{P\left(a_{k}, X\right) c_{i j}^{(k)}}{P(X)}$ can be thought as a relative counts of the state transition from state $i$ to state $j$. Thereby, we have,

$$
\hat{a}_{i j}=\frac{c_{i j}^{\prime}}{\sum_{j} c_{i j}^{\prime}} .
$$

If use $\gamma(i, j, t)=\frac{\alpha_{i}(t) a_{i j} b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{j}(t+1)}{\sum_{t} \alpha_{i}(t) \beta_{i}(t)}$, we have,

$$
\hat{a}_{i j}=\frac{c_{i j}^{\prime}}{\sum_{j} c_{i j}^{\prime}}=\frac{\sum_{t} \gamma(i, j, t)}{\sum_{t, j} \gamma(i, j, t)}
$$

## Parameter estimation of HMM source

$\square \quad$ First we define backward probability $\beta(i, t)$, which is a probability at state $q_{i}$ time $=t$ emitting $x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{t+2}, \ldots, x_{1}$. This probability can be efficiently calculated from the final symbol.
$\square \quad$ Initial setting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } q=1, Q_{n} \\
& \beta(q, 0)=1.0: \text { if } q \in F \\
& \beta(q, 0)=0.0: \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Iteration of backward path:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text { for } t=I-1, i-2, \ldots, 1,0 \\
& \quad \text { for } q=1,2, \ldots, Q_{n} \\
& \beta(q, t)=\sum_{\left\{j \in\left\{j: a_{j j} \neq 0\right\}\right.} \beta(j, t+1) \cdot a_{q j} \cdot b_{q j}\left(x_{t+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square \quad$ The following relationship holds.

$$
\sum_{i \in F} \alpha\left(q_{i}, I\right)=\sum_{q_{i} \in S} \beta\left(q_{i}, 0\right) \cdot \pi_{i}
$$

## Parameter estimation of HMM source

$\square$ Let $\gamma(i, j, t)$ be an emission probability producing $x_{t}$ during a transition from state $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$. Now $\gamma(i, j, t)$ can be calculated using $\alpha(i, t-1)$ and $\beta(j, t)$.

$$
\gamma(i, j, t)=\frac{\alpha(i, t-1) \cdot a_{i j} \cdot b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \cdot \beta(j, t)}{P(x \mid M)}
$$

Here, $\gamma(i, j, t)$ represents a probability (relative transition counts) producing $x_{t}$ during a transition from state $q_{i}$ to state $q_{j}$ assuming an HMM $\theta=\left\{a_{i j}, b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right), \pi_{i}\right\}$.

## Parameter estimation of HMM source

$\square$ Now we have the following estimation formulae.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{i} \Leftarrow \frac{\sum_{j} \gamma(i, j, l)}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \gamma(i, j, l)} \\
a_{i j} \Leftarrow \frac{\sum_{t} \gamma(i, j, t)}{\sum_{t} \sum_{j} \gamma(i, j, t)}=\frac{\sum_{t} \alpha(i, t-1) \cdot a_{i j} \cdot b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \cdot \beta(j, t)}{\sum_{t} \alpha(i, t) \cdot \beta(i, t)} \\
b_{i j}(k) \Leftarrow \frac{\sum_{t \cdot x_{i}=k} \gamma(i, j, t)}{\sum_{t} \gamma(i, j, t)}=\frac{\sum_{t x_{t}=k} \alpha(i, t-1) \cdot a_{i j} \cdot b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \cdot \beta(j, t)}{\sum_{t} \alpha(i, t-1) \cdot a_{i j} \cdot b_{i j}\left(x_{t}\right) \cdot \beta(j, t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Parameter estimation of HMM

$\square$ The calculations above will be iterated until its convergence. Also parameter estimation will be applied not to a single observation but to many symbol observations like,

$$
a_{i j} \Leftarrow \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{t} \gamma^{(n)}(i, j, t)}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{t} \sum_{j} \gamma^{(n)}(i, j, t)} .
$$

$\square$
$\gamma$ represents a probability that information source produces a symbol $x_{i}$ during a state transitions from state $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$, assuming the symbol sequence $x$ is observed regardless to the state sequences.
At least the calculation for $\alpha$ is the same as that of the Markov source model.

## Entropy for HMM source

$\square \quad$ Let Entropy per one symbol at a state $q_{j}$ is given by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(X \mid q_{j}\right)=-\sum_{x} p\left(x \mid q_{j}\right) \log p\left(x \mid q_{j}\right) \\
p\left(x \mid q_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} a_{j k} b_{j k}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\square \quad$ We obtain Entropy for the HMM taking expectation over all states.

$$
H(X)=\sum_{j} \pi\left(q_{j}\right) H\left(X \mid q_{j}\right)
$$

,where $\pi\left(q_{j}\right)$ is steady state probabilities for the HMM states.

## An example


$\square$ Estimate HMM parameters based on observed symbol sequence "ba".
$\square \quad$ Step 1:

| State seq. | $b$ | $a$ | $P\left(a_{i}, X\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A A A$ | $0.3 \times 0.1 \times 0.3 \times 0.9$ | $=0.0081$ |  |
| $A A B$ | $0.3 \times 0.1 \times 0.7 \times 0.1=$ | $=0.0021$ |  |
| $A B A$ | $0.7 \times 0.9 \times 0.7 \times 0.9$ | $=0.3969$ |  |
| $A B B$ | $0.7 \times 0.9 \times 0.3 \times 0.1$ | $=0.0189$ |  |
|  | sum0.426 |  |  |

## An example

$\square \quad$ Step 2

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(a \mid A) & 0.3 \times 0.9+0.7 \times 0.1=0.34 \\
P(b \mid A) & 0.3 \times 0.1+0.7 \times 0.9=0.66 \\
P(a \mid B) & 0.7 \times 0.9+0.3 \times 0.1=0.66 \\
P(b \mid B) & 0.7 \times 0.1+0.3 \times 0.9=0.34
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(X \mid A)=-0.34 \log 0.34-0.66 \log 0.66=0.9264 \\
& H(X \mid B)=-0.66 \log 0.66-0.34 \log 0.34=0.9264
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\log 0.34=-1.56, \log 0.66=-0.60) \\
P(A)=P(B)=\frac{1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we have Entropy for the HMM,

$$
H(X)=H(X \mid A) P(A)+H(X \mid B) P(B)=0.9264
$$

## An example

$\square \quad$ Step 3: Parameter estimation of the HMM.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{A A} & =\frac{\sum \text { prob. of state sequences with transition } A \rightarrow A}{\sum \text { prob. of state sequences with transition from } A} \\
& =\frac{\frac{0.0081}{0.426} \times 2+\frac{0.0021}{0.426}}{\frac{0.0081}{0.426} \times 2+\frac{0.0021}{0.426} \times 2+\frac{0.3969}{0.426}+\frac{0.0189}{0.426}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{b}_{A A}=\frac{\sum \text { prob. state sequences with transition } A \rightarrow A \text { producing symbol " } \mathrm{a} \text { " }}{\sum \text { prob. state sequences with transition } A \rightarrow A}$

$$
=\frac{0.0081}{0.0081 \times 2+0.0021}=0.4426
$$

## An example

$\square \quad$ There is another way of estimation using $\alpha, \beta$.

Time

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad \alpha_{0}(A)=1.0 \\
& \alpha_{0}(B)=0.0 \\
& 1 \alpha_{1}(A)=\alpha_{0}(A) \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \quad \alpha_{1}(B)=\alpha_{0}(A) \times 0.7 \times 0.9 \\
& =0.03 \\
& =0.63 \\
& 2 \alpha_{2}(A)=\alpha_{1}(A) \times 0.3 \times 0.9 \quad \alpha_{2}(B)=\alpha_{1}(A) \times 0.7 \times 0.1 \\
& +\alpha_{1}(B) \times 0.7 \times 0.9 \quad+\alpha_{1}(B) \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \\
& =0.405 \\
& =0.021
\end{aligned}
$$


time 0
1
2
$\sum \alpha_{2}\left(S_{i}\right)=0.426$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
2 & \beta_{2}(A) & =1.0 & \beta_{2}(B)=1.0 \\
\beta_{1}(A) & =\beta_{2}(A) \times 0.3 \times 0.9 & \beta_{1}(B)=\beta_{2}(A) \times 0.7 \times 0.9 & \\
1 & +\beta_{2}(B) \times 0.7 \times 0.1 & +\beta_{2}(B) \times 0.3 \times 0.1 \quad a \\
& =0.34 & =0.66 & \\
\beta_{0}(A) & =\beta_{1}(A) \times 0.3 \times 0.1 & \\
0 & & \\
& & \beta_{1}(B) \times 0.7 \times 0.9 & \\
& =0.426 & b
\end{array}
$$

## An example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{a}_{A A}=\frac{\alpha_{0}(A) a_{A A} b_{A A}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{1}(A)+\alpha_{1}(A) a_{A A} b_{A A}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{2}(A)}{\alpha_{0}(A) \beta_{0}(A)+\alpha_{1}(A) \beta_{1}(A)}=0.0420 \\
& a \\
& \hat{b}_{A A}(a)=\frac{\alpha_{1}(A) a_{A A} b_{A A}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{2}(A)}{\alpha_{0}(A) a_{A A} b_{A A}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{1}(A)+\alpha_{1}(A) a_{A A} b_{A A}\left(x_{t}\right) \beta_{2}(A)}=0.4426
\end{aligned}
$$

## An example



$$
\begin{align*}
& P(a \mid A)=0.0420 \times 0.4426+0.9580 \times 0.0050=0.0234(18) \\
& P(b \mid A)=0.0420 \times 0.5574+0.9580 \times 0.9950=0.9766(19) \\
& P(a \mid B)=0.0455 \times 1.0+0.9545 \times 1.0=1.0  \tag{20}\\
& P(b \mid B)=0.0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

## An example

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(X \mid A)=-0.0234 \log 0.0234-0.9766 \log 0.9766  \tag{22}\\
&=0.1601  \tag{23}\\
& H(X \mid B)=0  \tag{24}\\
& \quad\left(Z_{A}, Z_{B}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.0420 & 0.9580 \\
0.9545 & 0.0455
\end{array}\right)=\left(Z_{A}, Z_{B}\right) \\
& \quad Z_{A}=0.499, Z_{B}=0.501 \\
& H(X)=H(X \mid A) P(A)+H(X \mid B) P(B) \\
&=0.1601 \times 0.499=0.0799 \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

## First goal of 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ day

## Some properties of codes


$\square \quad$ Definition: Let the set of symbols comprising a given alphabet be called $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}\right\}$. Then we define a code as a mapping of all possible sequences of symbols of $S$ into sequences of symbols of some other alphabet $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$. We call $S$ the source alphabet and $X$ the code alphabet.

## Classification of coding



## Block code

$\square$ Definition: A block code is a code which maps each of the symbols of the source alphabet $S$ into a fixed sequence of symbols of the code alphabet $X$. These fixed sequences of the code alphabet (sequences of $x_{j}$ ) are called code words. We denote the code word corresponding to the source symbol $s_{i}$ by $X_{i}$. Note that $X_{i}$ denotes a sequence of $x_{j}^{\prime}$ s.

| Source symbols | code |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | 11 |
| $S_{3}$ | 00 |
| $S_{4}$ | 01 |

## Nonsingular block code

$\square$ Definition: A block code is said to be nonsingular if all the words of the code are distinct.

| Source symbols | code |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | 11 |
| $S_{3}$ | 00 |
| $S_{4}$ | 01 |

It is still possible for a given sequence of code symbols to have an ambiguous origin. For example, the sequence 0011 might represent either $s_{3} s_{2}$ or $s_{1} s_{1} s_{2}$.

## Extension of block code

$\square$ Definition: The $n$th extension of a block code which maps the symbols $s_{i}$ into the code words $X_{i}$ is the block code which maps the sequences of source symbols $\left(s_{i j}, s_{i p}, \ldots, s_{i n}\right)$ into the sequences of code words $\left(X_{i}, X_{i 2}, \ldots, X_{i n}\right)$.

| Source symbols | code | Source symbols | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1} S_{1}$ | 00 | $S_{3} S_{1}$ | 000 |
| $S_{1} S_{2}$ | 011 | $S_{3} S_{2}$ | 0011 |
| $S_{1} S_{3}$ | 000 | $S_{3} S_{3}$ | 0000 |
| $S_{1} S_{4}$ | 001 | $S_{3} S_{4}$ | 0001 |
| $S_{2} S_{1}$ | 110 | $S_{4} S_{1}$ | 010 |
| $S_{2} S_{2}$ | 1111 | $S_{4} S_{2}$ | 0111 |
| $S_{2} S_{3}$ | 1100 | $S_{4} S_{3}$ | 0100 |
| $S_{2} S_{4}$ | 1101 | $S_{4} S_{4}$ | 0101 |

## Uniquely decodable code

$\square$ Definition: A block code is said to be uniquely decodable if, and only if, the $n$th extension of the code is nonsigular for every finite $n$.
$\square$ Any two sequences of source symbols of the same length are distinct sequences of code symbols, if the code is uniquely decodable.
$\square \quad$ Two sequences of the different length should also be distinct, if the code is uniquely decodable.

Suppose we have source symbol sequences $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ which lead to the same sequence of code symbols, $X_{o}$, and $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ may be sequences of source symbols of different lengths.
Now let us form two new sequence source symbols, $S_{1}$ ' and $S_{2}$, where $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}=S_{2} S_{1}, S_{2}{ }^{\prime}=S_{1} S_{2}$. Both of $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are sequence $X_{0}$ followed by $X_{0}$ with the same length. Thus, the code doesn't satisfy the condition of unique decodability.

## Instantaneous code

| Source symbol | Code A | Code B | Code C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 00 | 0 | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | 01 | 10 | 01 |
| $S_{3}$ | 10 | 110 | 011 |
| $S_{4}$ | 11 | 1110 | 0111 |

$\square$ Code A : This code is uniquely decodable, since all codes have the same length and distinct.
$\square$ Code B: This code is also uniquely decodable, since it is nonsingular. It is called "Comma code", which separates code by comma, 0 in this example.
$\square$ Code C : This code is also uniquely decodable. However, we are not able to decode the sequence, word by word, as it is received. We can decode only after receiving 0 of the next code word.

## Instantaneous code

$\square$ Definition: A uniquely decodable code is said to be instantaneous if it is possible to decode each word in a sequence without reference to succeeding code symbols.
$\square$ Code $A$ and code $B$ are instantaneous. However, code $C$ is not instantaneous. A more general method to know whether instantaneous or not would be helpful.
$\square$ Definition: Let $X_{i}=x_{i 1} x_{i 2} \ldots x_{i m}$ be a word of some code. The sequence of code symbols $\left(x_{i 1} x_{i 2} \ldots x_{i j}\right)$, where $j \leq m$, is called a prefix of the code word $X_{i}$.
$\square$ Ex. 0,01,011,0111 are prefixes of 0111.

## Instantaneous code

$\square$ A necessary and sufficient condition for a code to be instantaneous is that no complete word of the code be a prefix of some other code word,
$\square$ Sufficient part:

- If no word is the prefix of some other word, we may decode any received sequence of code symbols comprised of code words in a direct manner.
- We scan the received sequence of code symbols until we come to a subsequence which comprises a complete code word.
- The subsequence must be this code word since by assumption it is not the prefix of any other code word.


## Instantaneous code

$\square$ Necessary part:

- We assume that there exists some word of our code, say Xi , which is also a prefix of some other word $X_{j}$.
- Now, if we scan a received sequence of code symbols and come upon the subsequence $X_{i}$, this subsequence may be a complete word, or it may be just the first part of word $X_{\text {. }}$.
- We cannot possibly tell which of these alternatives is true, however, until we examine more code symbols of the main sequence-thus the code is not instantaneous.



## Construction of an Instantaneous code

$\square$ Example code synthesis:

- Assign 0 to symbol $s_{1}$ :

$$
s_{1} \rightarrow 0
$$

- If we assign 1 to symbols $s 2$, this would leave us with no symbols. we might have, $s_{2} \rightarrow 10$
- This, in turn, would require us to start remaining code words with 11 . If, $s_{3} \rightarrow 110$ then the only three-binit prefix still unused is 111.
- And we might set, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{4} \rightarrow 1110 \\
& s_{4} \rightarrow 1111
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Other alternatives:

- If we synthesize another binary instantaneous code.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1} \rightarrow 00 \\
& s_{2} \rightarrow 01 \\
& s_{3} \rightarrow 10 \\
& s_{4} \rightarrow 110 \\
& s_{5} \rightarrow 111
\end{aligned}
$$

- We still have two prefixes of length 2 unused.


## Kraft inequality

$\square$ Constraints on the size of words of an instantaneous code. Consider an instantaneous code with source alphabet,

$$
S=\left\{s_{1}, \cdots, s_{q}\right\}
$$

and code alphabet $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$. Let the code words be $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{q}$ and define the length (number of code symbols) of word $X_{i}$ as $l_{i}$. It is often desirable that the lengths of the code words of our code be as small as possible. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an instantaneous code with word lengths $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{q}$ are provided by the Kraft inequality.
$\square$ Kraft inequality: A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an instantaneous code with word lenghts $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{q}$ is that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

where $r$ is the number of different symbols in the code alphabet.

## Kraft inequality

$\square$ For the binary case, the Kraft inequality tell us that the li must satisfy the equation.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} 2^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

| Source symbols | Code $A$ | Code $B$ | Code $C$ | Code $D$ | Code $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | 01 | 100 | 10 | 100 | 10 |
| $S_{3}$ | 10 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| $S_{4}$ | 11 | 111 | 111 | 11 | 11 |

## Kraft inequality

$\square$ Code A:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-L_{i}}=2^{-2}+2^{-2}+2^{-2}+2^{-2}=1
$$

$\square \quad$ Kraft inequality does not tell that code $A$ is an instantaneous code. The inequality is merely a condition on the word lengths of the code and not on the words themselves.
$\square$ Code B:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-l_{i}}=2^{-1}+2^{-3}+2^{-3}+2^{-3}=\frac{7}{8} \leq 1 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-l_{i}}=2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}+2^{-3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Code C:
$\square$ Code D:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-l_{i}}=2^{-1}+2^{-3}+2^{-3}+2^{-2}=1
$$

Code D is not an instantaneous code.
$\square$ Code E:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-l_{i}}=2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}+2^{-2}=1 \frac{1}{8}
$$

Code E is not an instantaneous code.

## One more example

$\square$ Suppose we wish to encode the outputs of a decimal source, $S=\{0,1,2, \ldots, 9\}$, into a binary instantaneous code.
Suppose there is some reason for encoding the 0 and 1 symbols of the decimal source into relatively short binary code words.
If we were to encode 0 s and 1 s from the source as,

$$
0 \rightarrow 0
$$

If we require all these eight code words to be of the same length, say $l$, the Kraft inequality will provide us with a direct answer to the equation.

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{9} 2^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

By assumption we have $l_{0}=1, l_{1}=2$, and $l_{2}=l_{3}=\ldots=l_{9}=l$. Then,
or

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+8\left(2^{-l}\right) \leq 1 \\
l \geq 5
\end{gathered}
$$

## The Kraft inequality - Proof

$\square$ First we prove that the inequality is sufficient for the existence of an instantaneous code by actually constructing an instantaneous code, satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}} \leq 1 \quad \text { (1) } \quad \sum_{i}^{L} n_{i}=q \quad L \text { is largest of } l_{i}
$$

(1) can be written as, $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{L} n_{i} r^{-i} \leq 1$
on multiplying by $r^{L}$,
rearranging terms,

$$
n_{L} \geq 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{L} n_{i} r^{-i+L} \leq r^{L} \\
& n_{1} r^{-1+L}+n_{2} r^{-2+L}+\ldots+n_{L} \leq r^{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
n_{L} \leq r^{L}-n_{1} r^{L-1}-n_{2} r^{L-2}-\ldots-n_{L-1} r
$$

$$
n_{L-1} r \leq n_{L}+n_{L-1} r \leq r^{L}-n_{1} r^{L-1}-\ldots-n_{L-2} r^{2}
$$

dividing by r , iterate the operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{L-1} \leq r^{L-1}-n_{1} r^{L-2}-\ldots- \\
& n_{3} \leq r^{3}-n_{1} r^{2}-n_{2} r=((r \\
& n_{2} \leq r^{2}-n_{1} r=r\left(r-n_{1}\right) \\
& n_{1} \leq r
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Kraft inequality - Proof

$\square$ Steps:

- We assign $n$, word of length 1 .
- There are $r$ possible such words that we may form, using an $r$-symbol code alphabet.
- We can select these $n_{1}$ code symbols arbitrarily,

$$
n_{1} \leq r
$$

- We are then left with $r-n_{1}$ permissible prefixes of length 1 .
- By adding one symbol to the end of each of these permissible prefixes, we may form as many as,

$$
\left(r-n_{1}\right) r=r^{2}-n_{1} r
$$ words of length 2.

- As before, we choose our $n_{2}$ words arbitrarily among our $r_{2}-n_{1} r$ choices, we are left with, $\quad\left(r-n_{1}\right) r-n_{2}$ unused prefixes of length 2 , from which we may form permissible prefixes of length 3 .

$$
\left(r^{2}-n_{1} r-n_{2}\right) r=r^{3}-n_{1} r^{2}-n_{2} r
$$

## McMillan's inequality

$\square$ Proof for the necessity conditions for uniquely decodable codes?

- Consider the quantity, we have $q_{n}$ terms, each terms of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}}\right)^{n}=\left(r^{-l_{1}}+r^{-l_{2}}+\ldots+r^{-l_{q}}\right)^{n} \\
& r^{-l_{11}-l_{i_{2}}-l_{3} \ldots-l_{i_{n}}}=r^{-k}, k=l_{i_{1}}+l_{i_{2}}+\ldots l_{i_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we let $L$ be the maximum of the word length $l_{i}$. $n \leq k \leq n L$

- We define $N_{k}$ as the number of terms of the form $r-k$, then,

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}}\right)^{n}=\left(\sum_{k=n}^{n L} N_{k} r^{-k}\right)
$$

- $N_{k}$ is also the number of strings of $n$ code words that can be formed so that each string has a length of exactly $k$ code symbols.
- If the code is uniquely decodable, $N_{k}$ must be no greater than $r^{k}$, the number of distinct $r$-ary sequences of length $k$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}}\right)^{n} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{n L} r^{k} r^{-k} \\
& \leq n L-n+1 \leq n L \quad(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Bernulli's inequality:

For $x>1, \mathrm{n}$ is arbitrarily large, $x^{n}>n l$ holds. Considering this inequality and equation (*), we can prove,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_{i}} \leq 1
$$

## Example

$\square$ Assume we wish to encode a source with 10 source symbols into a trinary instantaneous code with word length $1,2,2,2,2,2,3,3,3,3$. Applying the test of the Kraft inequality, we have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{10} 3^{-l_{i}}=\frac{1}{3}+5\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)+4\left(\frac{1}{27}\right) \\
=\frac{28}{27}>1
\end{gathered}
$$

This doesn't satisfy the inequality.
$\square$ Assume we with to encode symbols from a source with nine symbols into a trinary instantaneous code with lengths $1,2,2,2,2,2,3,3,3$. Applyint the test of the Kraft inequality, we have, We show the example.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{9} 3^{-l_{i}}=\frac{1}{3}+5\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)+3\left(\frac{1}{27}\right)
$$

$$
s_{1} \rightarrow 0, s_{2} \rightarrow 10, s_{3} \rightarrow 11
$$

$$
s_{4} \rightarrow 12, s_{5} \rightarrow 20, s_{6} \rightarrow 21
$$

$$
s_{7} \rightarrow 220, s_{8} \rightarrow 221, s_{9} \rightarrow 222
$$

## Coding information sources

$\square$ For a given source alphabet and a given code alphabet, however, we can construct many instantaneous codes forces us to find a criterion by which we may choose among the codes. Perhaps the natural criterion for this selection, although by no means the only possibility, is length.
$\square$ Definition: Let a block code transform the source symbols $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ into the code words $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{q}$. Let the probabilities of the source symbols be $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$, and let the lengths of the code words be $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{q}$. Then we define $L$, the average length of the code, by the equation

$$
L=\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} l_{i}
$$

## Coding information source

$\square$ Average length and Entropy:
Definition: Consider an instantaneously decodable code which maps the symbols from a source $S, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ with probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$ into code word composed of symbols from an r-ary code alphabet. We have the following relationships.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(S) \leq L \log r \\
& H_{r}(S) \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Compact code:
Definition: Consider a uniquely decodable code which maps the symbols from a source $S$ into code word composed of symbols from an $r$-ary code alphabet. This code will be called compact (for the source $S$ ) if its average length is less than or equal to the average length of all other uniquely decodable codes for the same source and the same code alphabet.

## Compact code

$\square$ Proof of the relationship:

- Consider a zero-memory source $S$, with symbols $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ and symbol probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$, respectively. Let a block code encode these symbols into a code alphabet of r symbols, and let the length of the word corresponding to $s_{i}$ be $l_{i}$. Then the entropy of this zero-memory source is,

$$
H(S)=-\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log P_{i}
$$

Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{q}$ be any $q$ numbers such that $Q_{i} \geq 0$ for all if and $\sum_{i=1}^{Q} Q_{i}=1$.

- By the Jensen's inequality, we know that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{Q_{i}}
$$

with equality if and only if $P_{i}=Q_{i}$ for all $i$. Hence,

$$
H(S) \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} \log Q_{i} \cdots(1)
$$

## Compact code

Equation is valid for any set of nonnegative numbers Qi which sum to 1. We may choose,

$$
Q_{i}=\frac{r^{-l i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l j}}
$$

- We obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(S) \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i}\left(\log r^{-l i}\right)+\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i}(\log \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l j}}_{=1}) \cdots(2)}_{i=1} \\
& \leq \log r \sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} l_{i}=\log r L \\
& \frac{H(S)}{\log r} \leq L, \text { or } H_{r}(S) \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compact code

$\square$ A method of encoding for special source.
Considering eqns. (1)(2), a condition for equality in the last inequality is,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{q} r^{-t_{j}}=1
$$

Then we see that a necessary and sufficient condition for equlality is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i} & =Q_{i} \\
& =\frac{r^{-l_{i}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{q} r^{-l_{j}}} \\
& =r^{-l_{i}} \text { for all } i .
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\log _{r} \frac{1}{P_{i}}=l_{i} \text { for all } i \cdots(4.9 b)
$$

## Compact code

$\square$ We may say that, for an instantaneous code and a zero-memory source, $L$ must be greater than or equal to $H_{r}(S)$. Furthermore, $L$ can achieve this lower bound if and only if we can choose the word lengths $l_{i}$ equal to $\log _{r}\left(1 / P_{j}\right)$ for all $i$. For the equality, therefore, $\log _{r}\left(1 / P_{i}\right)$ must be an integer for each $i$.
$\square$ In other words, for the equality the symbol probabilities $P_{i}$ must all be of the form $(1 / r)^{a i}$, where $a_{i}$ is an integer. Note that if these conditions are met, we have derived the word lengths of a compact code. We simply choose $l_{i}$ equal to $a_{i}$.

## Compact code

| Source symbol | Symbol prob. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $1 / 4$ | 10 |
| $S_{3}$ | $1 / 8$ | 110 |
| $S_{4}$ | $1 / 8$ | 111 |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{i}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l_{i}} \\
L=\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i} l_{i}=1 \frac{3}{4} \\
H=\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}}=1 \frac{3}{4}
\end{array}
$$

## Example: Compact code

| Source symbol | Symbol prob. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | $1 / 4$ | 00 |
| $S_{2}$ | $1 / 4$ | 01 |
| $S_{3}$ | $1 / 4$ | 10 |
| $S_{4}$ | $1 / 4$ | 11 |


| Source symbol | Symbol prob. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $1 / 4$ | 10 |
| $S_{3}$ | $1 / 8$ | 110 |
| $S_{4}$ | $1 / 8$ | 111 |

## Example: Compact code

| Source symbol | Symbol prob. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | $1 / 3$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $1 / 3$ | 1 |
| $S_{3}$ | $1 / 9$ | 20 |
| $S_{4}$ | $1 / 9$ | 21 |
| $S_{5}$ | $1 / 27$ | 220 |
| $S_{6}$ | $1 / 27$ | 221 |
| $S_{7}$ | $1 / 27$ | 222 |

## Shannon's first theorem

$\square$ We now turn to zero-memory source with arbitrary symbol probabilities.
$\square$ Equation (4-9b) tells us that if $\log _{r}\left(1 / P_{i}\right)$ is an integer, we should choose the word length $l_{i}$ equal to this integer. If $\log _{r}\left(1 / P_{i}\right)$ is not an integer, it might seem reasonable that a compact code could be found by selecting $l_{i}$ as the first integer greater than this value. This tempting conjecture is, in fact, not valid, but we shall find that selecting $l_{i}$ in this manner can lead to some important results.

$$
\log _{r} \frac{1}{P_{i}} \leq l_{i} \leq \log _{r} \frac{1}{P_{i}}+1 \cdots(4-10)
$$

$\square \quad$ First, we check to see that the word lengths satisfy the Kraft inequality.

$$
\frac{1}{P_{i}} \leq r^{l_{i}} \text { or } P_{i} \leq r^{l_{i}} \cdots(4-11)
$$

Summing (4-11) over all $i$, we obtain,

$$
1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-t_{i}}
$$

## Shannon's first theorem

$\square \quad$ If we multiply (4-10) by $P i$ and sum over all $i$,

$$
H_{r}(S) \leq L<H_{r}(S)+1 \cdots(4-12)
$$

$\square \quad$ In this way, if we construct the code in the way of $(4-10)$, we can have the lower and upper bounds of $L$. This is valid for any zero-memory source, we may apply it to the nth extension of our original source $S$.

$$
H_{r}\left(S^{n}\right) \leq L_{n}<H_{r}\left(S^{n}\right)+1 \cdots(4-13)
$$

$L_{n}$ represents the average length of the code words corresponding to symbols from the nth extension of the source S . If $\lambda_{i}$ is the length of the code word corresponding to symbol $\sigma_{i}$ and, $P\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ is the probability of $\sigma_{i}$, then

$$
L_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{q^{n}} P\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \lambda_{i} \cdots(4-14)
$$

$L_{n} / n$ is the average number of code symbols used per single symbol from S.

$$
H_{r}(S) \leq \frac{L}{n}<H_{r}(S)+\frac{1}{n} \cdots(4-15 a)
$$

## Shannon's first theorem

$\square$ It is possible to make $L_{n} / n$ as close to $\operatorname{Hr}(S)$ as we wish by coding the $n$th extension of $S$ rather than $S$ :

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_{n}}{n}=H_{r}(S) \cdots(4-15 b)
$$

Equation (4-15a) is known as Shannon's first theorem or the noiseless coding theorem. The price we pay for decreasing $L_{n} / n$ is the increased coding complexity caused by the large number $\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of source symbols.

## Shannon's first theorem for Markov source

$\square$ We define the first-order Markov source $S$, with source symbols $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ and conditional symbols probabilities $P\left(s_{i} / s_{j}\right)$. We also define $S_{n}$, the nth extension of $S$, with symbols $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{q^{n}}$, and conditional symbols probabilities $P\left(\sigma_{i} / \sigma_{i}\right)$. We refer to the firstorder (unconditional) symbol probabilities of $S$ and $S_{n}$ as $P_{i}$ and $P\left(\sigma_{i} / \sigma_{j}\right)$, respectively.
$\square$ The process of encoding the symbols $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ into an instantaneous block code is identical for he source $S$ and its adjoint source $\bar{S}$. If the length of the code word corresponding to $s_{i}$ is $l_{i}$, the average length of the code is,

$$
L=\sum_{i=1}^{q} P_{i} l_{i}
$$

## Shannon's first theorem for Markov source

$\square$ The average length is identical for $S$ and $\bar{S}$ since $P_{i}$, the first-order symbol probability of $s_{i}$, is the same for both these sources.
$\bar{S}$ is a zero-memory source, and we have,

$$
H_{r}(\bar{S}) \leq L
$$

This inequality may be augmented to read,
and,

$$
H_{r}(S) \leq H_{r}(\bar{S}) \leq L
$$

If we now select the $l_{i}$ according to (4-10), we may bound $L$ above and below (4-12),

$$
H_{r}(S) \leq L<H_{r}(S)+1
$$

for the extended source, $\quad H_{r}\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right) \leq L_{n}<H_{r}\left(\overline{S^{n}}\right)+1$ using (2-41) and dividing by $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2-41) \text { and dividing by } n, \\
& H_{r}(S)+\frac{H_{r}(\bar{S})-H_{r}(S)}{n} \leq \frac{L_{n}}{n}<H_{r}(S)+\frac{\left[H_{r}(\bar{S})-H_{r}(S)\right]+1}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Coding without extensions

$\square$ Shannon's theorem shows the bound above and below considering its extension. The theorem doesn't tell us what value of $L$ (or $L_{n} / n$ ) we shall obtain. It doesn't guarantee that choosing the word lengths according to (4-10) will give us the smallest possible value of $L$ ( or $\left.L_{n} / n\right)$ it is possible to obtain for that fixed $n$.

| Source symbol | $P_{i}$ | $\log 1 / P_{i}$ | $l_{i}$ | Code A | Code B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{1}$ | $2 / 3$ | 0.58 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $2 / 9$ | 2.17 | 3 | 100 | 10 |
| $S_{3}$ | $1 / 9$ | 3.17 | 4 | 1010 | 11 |

$L_{A}=\frac{2}{3} \times 1+\frac{2}{9} \times 3+\frac{1}{9} \times 4=1.78$ binits $/$ sourcesymbol $H_{A}(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}}=1.22$ bits $/$ source symbol
This satisfies,

$$
H_{A}(S) \leq L_{A} \leq H_{A}(S)+1
$$

However, code B gives shorter L. $L_{B}=\frac{2}{3} \times 1+\frac{2}{9} \times 2+\frac{1}{9} \times 2=1.33$ binits $/$ source symbol

## Binary Compact Codes - Huffman Codes

$\square$ A compact code for a source $S$ is a code which has the smallest average length possible if we encode the symbols from $S$ one at a time. We develop a method of constructing compact codes for the case of a binary code alphabet.
$\square \quad$ Consider the source $S$ with symbols $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{q}$ and symbol probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{q}$. Let the symbols be ordered so that $P_{1} \geq P_{2} \cdots \geq P_{q}$. By regarding the last two symbols of $S$ as combined into one symbol, we obtain a new source from $S$ containing only $q-1$ symbols. We refer to this new source as a reduction of $S$.
$\square \quad$ The symbols of this reduction of $S$ may be reordered, and again we may combine the two last least probable symbols to form a reduction of this reduction of $S$. By proceeding in this manner, we construct a sequence of sources, each containing one fewer symbol than the previous one, until we arrive at a source with only two symbols.

## Huffman codes


$\square$ Construction of a sequence of reduced sources is the first step in the construction of a compact instantaneous code for the original source S .
$\square$ The second step is merely the recognition that a binary compact instantaneous code for the last reduced source ( a source with only two symbols) is the trivial code with the two words 0 and 1 .
$\square$ The final step is to construct a compact instantaneous code for the source immediately preceding the reduced source in the sequence of reduced sources.

## Huffman codes

| Huffman codes for two symbols |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbols |  |  |
| $s_{1}$ |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ |  |  |
| $L=\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i} l_{i}=1$ |  |  |
| $H=\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}}=0.8113$ |  |  |

## Huffman codes

Synthesis of a compact code

| symbols | Prob. | Code | S1 | Code | S2 | Code | S3 | Code | 4 | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | $\rightarrow 0.6$ | 0 |
| $s_{2}$ | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 007 | 0.4 | 1 |
| $s_{3}$ | 0.1 | 011 | 0.1 | 011 | P 0.2 | $0107$ |  | 01 ] |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | 0.1 | 0100 | 0.1 | 0100 | 0.1 | 011 |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{5}$ | 0.06 | $010107$ |  | 0101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{6}$ | 0.04 | 01011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ We assign to each symbol of $S_{j-1}\left(s_{a 0}\right.$ and $\left.s_{a t}\right)$ the code word used by the corresponding symbol of $S$. The code words used by $s_{a 0}$ and $s_{a 1}$ are formed by adding a 0 and 1 , respectively, to the code word used for $s_{a}$.
$\square \quad$ There are another possibilities to decompose a reduced source in code $S_{3}$ and $S_{1}$.

## Huffman codes

Synthesis of compact codes

| Symbols | Prob. | Codes | S1 | Codes | S2 | Codes | S3 | Codes | S4 | Codes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | $\rightarrow 0.6$ | 0 |
| $s_{2}$ | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.3 | 007 | 0.4 | 1 |
| $s_{3}$ | 0.1 | 0100 | P 0.1 | 011 | P 0.2 | 010 |  | 01 |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | 0.1 | 0101 |  | $01007$ | $0.1$ | 011 |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{5}$ | 0.06 | 01107 | 0.1 | 0101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{6}$ | 0.04 | 0111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ There are three choices in $S_{1}$. If we choose the fist one, we obtain a code with word lengths,

$$
1,2,4,4,4,4 .
$$

If we choose the second or third, we obtain,

$$
\begin{gathered}
1,2,3,4,5,5 . \\
L=\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i} l_{i}=1.875 \quad H=\sum_{i=1}^{5} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}}=1.8402
\end{gathered}
$$

## Huffman codes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=1(0.4)+2(0.3)+4(0.1)+4(0.1)+4(0.06)+(0.04)=2.2 \text { binits } / \text { symbol } \\
& L=1(0.4)+2(0.3)+3(0.1)+4(0.1)+5(0.06)+5(0.04)=2.2 \text { binits } / \text { symbol } \\
& H=\sum_{i=1}^{6} P_{i} \log \frac{1}{P_{i}}=2.1435
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Two codes have the same average code lengths. These are shortest average length codes that can construct.


## Proof of Huffman codes

- Assume that we have found a compact code $C_{j}$ for some reduction, say $S_{j}$, of an original source $S$. Let the average length of this code be $L_{j}$.
- One of the symbols of $S_{j}$, say $s_{a}$, is formed from the two least probable symbols of the preceding reduction $S_{j-1}$. Let these two symbols be $s_{a 0}$ and $s_{a 1}$, and let their probabilities be $P_{a 0}$ and $P_{a 1}$, respectively.
- The probability of $s_{a}$ is then $P_{a}=P_{a 0}+P_{a 1}$. Let the code for $S_{j-1}$ formed according to rule (4-24) be called $C_{j-1}$, and let its average length be $L_{j-1}$.
- $L_{j-1}$ is easily related to $L_{j}$ since the words of $C_{j}$ and $C_{j-1}$ are identical except that the (two) words for $s_{a 0}$ and $s_{a 1}$ are one binit longer than the (one) word for $s_{a}$. Thus we know that

$$
L_{j-1}=L_{j}+P_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1} \cdots(4.25)
$$

What we want to show is if $C_{j}$ is compact, then $C_{j-1}$ must also be compact. In other words, if $L_{j}$ is the smallest possible average length of an instantaneous code for $S_{j}$, then $L_{j-1}$ is the smallest possible average length for $S_{j-1}$.

## Proof of Huffman codes

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{j-1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{i} l_{i}+P_{\alpha 0} l_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1} l_{\alpha 1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{i} l_{i}+P_{\alpha 0}\left(l_{\alpha}+1\right)+P_{\alpha 1}\left(l_{\alpha}+1\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{i} l_{i}+P_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1} \\
& =L_{j}+P_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
L_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{i} l_{i}, \quad P_{\alpha}=P_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1}
$$

## Proof of Huffman codes

$\square$ A proof by demonstrating that assuming the contrary leads to a contradiction.
$\square$ Assume that we have found a compact code for $S_{j-1}$ with average length $\tilde{L}_{j-1}<L_{j-1}$. Let the words of the code be $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{X}_{a l}$, with lengths $\tilde{l}_{1}, \tilde{l}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{I}_{a}$, respectively. We assume that the subscripts are ordered in order of decreasing symbol probabilities so that,

$$
\tilde{l}_{1} \leq \tilde{l}_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{l}_{a 1}
$$

$\square$ One of the words of this code (call it $\tilde{X}_{a 0}$ ) must be identical with $\tilde{X}_{a 1}$ except in its last digit. If this were not true, we could drop the last digit from $\tilde{X}_{a 1}$ and decrease the average length of the code without destroying its instantaneous property.
$\square \quad$ Finally, we form $\tilde{C}_{j}$, a code for $S_{j}$, by combining $\tilde{X}_{a 0}$ and $\tilde{X}_{a 1}$ and dropping their last binit while leaving all other words unchanged. This gives us an instantaneous code for $S_{j}$ with average length , related by

$$
\tilde{L}_{j-1}=\tilde{L}_{j}+P_{\alpha 0}+P_{\alpha 1}
$$

## Proof of Huffman codes

$\square$ If we compare the last equation to (4-25), we see that our assumption

$$
\tilde{L}_{j-1}<L_{j-1}
$$

implies that we may construct a code with average length

$$
\tilde{L}_{j}<L_{j}
$$

This is the contradiction we seek since the code with average length $L_{j}$ is compact.
$\square$ Two properties of Huffman codes.

- If the probabilities of the symbols of a source are ordered so that

$$
P_{1} \geq P_{2} \geq \cdots \geq P_{q}
$$

, the lengths of the words assigned to these symbols will be ordered so that,

$$
l_{1} \leq l_{2} \leq \cdots \leq l_{q}
$$

- The lengths of the last two words (in order of decreasing probability) of a compact code are identical:

$$
l_{q}=l_{q-1}
$$

If there are several symbols with probability $P_{q}$, we may assign their subscripts so that the words assigned to the last two symbols differ only in their last digit.

## r-ary compact codes

$\square \quad$ We would like the last source in the sequence to have exactly $r$ symbols. The last source will have $r$ symbols if and only if the original source has $r+a(r-1)$ symbols, where $a$ is an integer. Therefore, if the original source doesn't have $r+a(r-1)$ symbols, we add "dummy symbols" with probability 0 to the source until this number is reached.

|  | Synthesis of compact codes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Symbols | Prob. | Codes | S1 | Codes | S2 | Codes | S3 | Codes |
|  | $s_{1}$ | 0.22 | 2 | 0.22 | 2 | 0.23 |  | 0.40 | 0 |
|  | $s_{2}$ | 0.15 | 3 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.22 | 2 | 0.23 | 1 |
|  | $s_{3}$ | 0.12 | 00 | 0.12 | 00 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.22 | 2 |
|  | $s_{4}$ | 0.10 | 01 | 0.10 | 01 | 0.12 | 00 | 0.15 | 3 |
|  | $s_{5}$ | 0.10 | 02 | 0.10 | 02 | 0.10 | 01 |  |  |
|  | $s_{6}$ | 0.08 | 03 | 0.08 | 03 | 0.10 | 02 |  |  |
|  | $s_{7}$ | 0.06 |  |  | 10 | 0.08 | 03 |  |  |
|  | $5_{8}$ | 0.05 | 12 | 0.06 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $s_{9}$ | 0.05 | 13 | 0.05 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $s_{10}$ |  | 100 | 0.05 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $s_{11}$ | 0.03 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dummy | $\left(s_{12}\right)$ | 0.00 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| symbols | $\left(s_{13}\right)$ | 0.00 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Code efficiency and redundancy

$\square \quad$ Shannon's first theorem shows that there exists a common measure for any information source. The value of a symbol from an information source $S$ may be measured in terms of an equivalent number of binary digits needed to represent one symbol from that source.
Let the average length of a uniquely decodable r -ary code for the source S be L. L cannot be less than $\mathrm{Hr}(\mathrm{s})$. Accordingly, we define the efficiency of the code, by

$$
\eta=\frac{H_{r}(S)}{L} .
$$

It is also possible to define the redundancy of a code.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Redundancy } & =1-\eta \\
& =\frac{L-H_{r}(S)}{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example - $n$th extension

| Huffman codes for two symbols |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbols | Prob. | Code |
| $S_{1}$ | $3 / 4$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $1 / 4$ | 1 |
|  | $H(S)=\frac{1}{4} \log 4+\frac{3}{4} \log \frac{4}{3}=0.811 b i t$ |  |

The average length of this code is 1 binit, so the efficiency is,

$$
\eta=0.811
$$

To improve the efficiency, we might code $S^{2}$, the second extension of $S$ :
Huffman codes for two symbols

| Symbols | Prob. | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | $9 / 16$ | 0 |
| $S_{2}$ | $3 / 16$ | 10 |
| $S_{3}$ | $3 / 16$ | 110 |
| $S_{4}$ | $1 / 16$ | 111 |

$$
\eta_{2}=0.985
$$

Extending to higher order, $\quad \eta_{3}=0.985, \quad \eta_{4}=0.991$

## Example－$n$th extension


Cowspact covies for r m

| $P(s)$ | 6 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 410 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{St}_{8}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | III |
| $\frac{1}{16}$ | di | 2 | ， | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | \％ | 20 | 300 | 1000 |
| $\frac{1}{16}$ | $a_{4}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 31 | 21 | 201 | 1001 |
| $\frac{1}{18}$ | 4s | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 31 | 22 | 208 | 1010 |
| 18 | $\Delta_{4}$ | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $51)$ | 3 | 23 | 210 | 1011 |
| $\frac{1}{18}$ | a） | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 60 | 51 | 37 | 310 | 211 | 1100 |
| $1_{16}^{16}$ | $S_{8}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 70 | 61 | 52 | 31 | 31 | 213 | 1101 |
| 挂 | 43 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 811 | 71 | 64 | 31 | 40 | ［1\％ | 220 | 1111 |
| of | $S_{13}$ | 4 | 9 | 9 | 90 | 81 | 72 | 63 | 51 | 41 | 3 a 0 | 221 | 111100 |
|  | $s_{11}$ | $\Lambda$ | A | A0 | 91 | 82 | 73 | 64 | 550 | 42 | 331 | 2220 | 111101 |
|  | 518 | 13 | B0 | A1 | 92 | 83 | 71 | 6s | 551 | 43 | 239 | 2221 | 111110 |
| हु | 501 | C | HI | A3 | 98 | 81 | 75 | 66 | 352 | 4 | 3133 | 2229 | 111111 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| longt |  | 1 | 37 | 新 | 19 | 8 | 18 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 938 | 棏 | $\frac{121}{12}$ | 2 E |




## Compact codes: Elias codes



011 is a point of region $[0.375,0.50]$. An initial symbol is $A$.
0110 is a point of region $[0.375,0.4375]$. The source symbols are AAB.
$\square$ Elias code:
Elias codes is non-block compact codes in contrast to the Huffman codes, which are the block codes. This is also called arithmetic codes.
$\square \quad$ Elias code assign a sequence of source symbols to a fractional number, which is obtained by dividing a number line according to the symbol probabilities.

## Elias code

$\square \quad$ In Huffman codes it is necessary to consider extension of codes in order to improve code efficiency. If the block size is large, it becomes difficult. Also in Huffman codes code length should be an integer number.
$\square \quad$ Elias code assigns a sequence of source symbols to one code. It is not necessary to calculate all of probabilities of nth extension of symbols and we can decode the codes iteratively.

## Elias code

$\square$ Procedure:

- Suppose we have binary codes $s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$ with probabilities $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$.
- Divide a region of number line $[0,1)$ according to $P_{0}: P_{1}$ and make a region $A_{0}$ and $A_{1} . A_{0}$ corresponds $\left[0, P_{0}\right), A_{1}$ corresponds $\left[P_{0,1}, 1\right)$.
- If a first source symbol, $S_{0}$ is $s_{0}$ then choose a region $A_{0}$, else choose a region $A_{1}$.
- If $S_{0}=s_{0}$ and a region $A_{0}$ is selected, divide a region $A_{0}$ according to $P_{0}: P_{1}$ and obtain a region $A_{00}$ and $A_{01}$.
Then a next code $S_{1}=s_{0}$, then choose a region $A_{00}$, else $A_{01}$.
- Iterate this procedure until the end of the source symbol sequence and represent a chosen region with a fractional number, which is lower value of the region.


## Elias code

$\square$ Average code length: The size of the region for symbol sequence $S N$ becomes,

$$
P_{0}^{N_{0}} \times P_{1}^{N_{1}}
$$

where letting number of $s_{0}, s_{1}$ be $N_{0}, N_{1}$, respectively.
$\square \quad$ The necessary resolution to represent a point in this region with binary fraction number is,

$$
-N_{0} \log _{2} P_{0}-N_{1} \log _{2} P_{1}
$$

$\square$ If we take longer source symbol length $N$,

$$
P_{0}^{N_{0}}=\frac{N_{0}}{N}, \quad P_{1}^{N_{1}}=\frac{N_{1}}{N}
$$

in this way the average code length approaches to the Entropy according to the length $N$.

## Elias code



This figure depicts the process where the source symbol sequence 010011.. is encoded by the Elias code.
First a region $[0,1)$ is divided into A 0 A1 according to P0:P1.
A 0 is chosen since a first symbol is 0 . In this way the subregion is divided and chosen.

## L-R arithmetic codes

$\square$ Problems of Elias code:

- Multiplication by a probability per coding one source symbol is necessary. Required precision for calculation increases according to N .
- Coding cannot be started until receiving the last symbol.
$\square \quad$ L-R arithmetic code: One approach to solve the problem for binary code.
- Approximate an inferior symbol probability by $2^{-\mathrm{Q}}$.
- Assign a value U of the region $[\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ to the symbol sequence. Prevent bit-reverse propagation by carry introducing bit-stuffing.
$\square$ Average code length:
An average code length of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{R}$ code is given by,

$$
L=P_{1} \times \log _{2} 2^{-Q}-P_{0} \times \log _{2}\left(1-2^{-Q}\right)
$$

Coding efficiency becomes 1 if an inferior symbol probability is $2^{-Q}$.

## L-R arithmetic code

$\square$ Coding algoritm:

- Initialization:

Prepare a register C and a register A with V bits.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \leftarrow 000 \ldots 0 \\
& A \leftarrow 111 \ldots 1
\end{aligned}
$$

C is an initial code and A is an initial value of the region.

- Coding of source symbol Xi.
$\square \quad$ Divide the register A into A0 and A1 according P0:P1 of the superior symbol " 0 " and the inferior symbol " 1 ".

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1} \leftarrow A \times P_{1} \\
& A_{0} \leftarrow A \times P_{0} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$P_{1} \approx 2^{-Q}(\mathrm{Q}$ : integer, called SKEW) (1) is calculated by right shift and (2) can be calculated by $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A} 1=\mathrm{A} 0$

## L-R arithmetic code

- Code is,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { If } X_{i}=0 & C \text { is same as it was. } \\
\text { If } X_{i}=1 & C=C+A_{0}
\end{array}
$$

update the region,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { If } X_{i}=0 & A \leftarrow A_{0} \\
\text { If } X_{i}=1 & A \leftarrow A_{1}
\end{array}
$$

,where C represents the lower bound of the chosen region.

## L-R arithmetic code

$\square$ Decoding algorithm:

- Initialization:
$\mathrm{C} \leftarrow$ copied from the received codes.
$\mathrm{A} \leftarrow$ the initial value set by the coding algorithm
- Decoding:
$\square \quad$ Every time we receive a code, divide the region A.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0} \leftarrow A \times P_{0} \\
& A_{1} \leftarrow A \times P_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For registers,
If C -A0 is negative, keep C as it was and choose source symbol 0 .
If $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A} 0$ is non-negative, set $\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C}$ - A 0 , and choose source symbol 1 .
Next update A,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{i}=0 & A \leftarrow A_{0} \\
X_{i}=1 & A \leftarrow A_{1}
\end{array}
$$

## Another advantage of L-R arithmetic code

$\square$ We can change a inferior probability, SKEW, according to change of a symbol probability. If we use the same SKEW in decoding, we can decode in the same way.

## Coding example by L-R code

| Sym. | A | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | Code Output | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1111 | 1100 | 0011 |  | 0000 |
| 1 | 1100 | 1001 | 0011 |  | 1001 |
| ren. | 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 10 | 01 |
| 0 | 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 10 | 0100 |
| 0 | 1001 | 0111 | 0010 | 10 | 0100 |
| ren. | 0111 | Shift 1 bit |  | 100 | 100 |
| 1 | 1110 | 1011 | 0011 | 101 | 0011 |
| ren. | 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 10100 | 11 |
| 1 | 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 10101 | 0101 |
| ren. | 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 1010101 | 01 |
| 0 | 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 1010101 | 0100 |
| 0 | 1001 | 0111 | 0010 | 1010101 | 0100 |
| ren. | 0111 | Shift 1 bit |  | 10101010 |  |
| 0 | 1110 | 1011 | 0011 | 10101010 | 1000 |
| 1 | 1011 | 1001 | 0010 | 10101011 | 0001 |
|  |  | Code string $=101010110001$ |  |  |  |

## Decoding example of L-R code

| A | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | C | Code String | Sym. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1111 | 1100 | 0011 | 1010 | 10110001 | 0 |
| 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 0001 | 10110001 | 1 |
| 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 0110 | 110001 | ren. |
| 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 0110 | 110001 | 0 |
| 1001 | 0111 | 0010 | 0110 | 110001 | 0 |
| 0111 | Shift 1 bit |  | 1101 | 10001 | ren. |
| 1110 | 1011 | 0011 | 0010 | 10001 | 1 |
| 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 1010 | 001 | ren. |
| 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 0001 | 001 | 1 |
| 0011 | Shift 2 bit |  | 0100 | 1 | ren. |
| 1100 | 1001 | 0011 | 0100 | 1 | 0 |
| 1001 | 0111 | 0010 | 0100 | 1 | 0 |
| 0111 | Shift 1 bit |  | 1001 |  | ren. |
| 1110 | 1011 | 0011 | 1001 |  | 0 |
| 1011 | 1001 | 0010 | 0000 |  | 1 |
|  | Decoded symbol string=0100110001 |  |  |  |  |

## Bit-stuffing- L-R code



## Coding efficiency of L-R code



Probability of an inferior symbol

## Universal code

$\square$ What is universal code?

- Coding which can compress source symbols belong to a fixed class, optimally or very efficiently.
- Coding algorithm independent of a prior probabilities of source symbols. Or coding algorithm for source symbols which have varying probabilities.
$\square$ Three coding algorithms:
- Adaptive Huffman code
- Context Modeling
- Dictionary code


## Adaptive Huffman code

$\square \quad$ Adaptive Huffman code (1)

- Algorithm:

Every time when we receive N source symbols (one block), update a probability table of source symbols and re-synthesis Huffman codes. Then send them to the decoder.

- Problems:

According to the size of a block the size of the probability table seems relatively small, however, we cannot send a code until N source symbols. It is very inefficient to re-synthesis Huffman code every N symbols.

## Adaptive Huffman code

$\square \quad$ Adaptive Huffman code (2)

- Algorithm:
$\square$ Code a source symbols and send the code based on the Huffman codes designed by a prior symbol probabilities.
$\square \quad$ Let probabilities of source symbols $\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{M}-1}$ at time $\mathrm{N}-1$ be,

$$
P_{N-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=\frac{n_{N-1}\left(a_{i}\right)}{N-1}
$$

If we let a source symbol at time N be a, the code for the symbol is synthesized by Huffman codes based on the symbol probability,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{N}(a)=\frac{n_{N}(a)}{N}=\frac{(N-1) p_{N-1}(a)+1}{N} \\
& P_{N}\left(a_{i}\right)=\frac{n_{N}\left(a_{i}\right)}{N}=\frac{(N-1) p_{n-1}\left(a_{i}\right)}{N} \text { if } a_{i} \neq a
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ This algorithm doesn't need to send a probability table of source symbols since a decoder can update the probability table simultaneously.

- Problems:

In worst case an update of Huffman codes will be necessary for each symbol. Higher resolution is necessary according to the size N .

## Adaptive Huffman code

$\square \quad$ Adaptive Huffman code (3)

- Algorithm:
$\square \quad$ Update the probability table only when the tree of Huffman codes changed. The timing of the table update is calculated based not on the true symbol probabilities but on the following approximated probabilities.

$$
P\left(a_{i}\right)=\frac{w_{i}}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_{k}}
$$

Normalization in this equation will not be applied in reality.
$\square$ Initialization:
Synthesize Huffman codes and their tree according to the a prior source symbol probabilities.
$\square$ Assign $w_{i}$ to the symbol according to the a prior probability.
$\square \quad$ When we increment one count to $w_{i}$ when receiving the source symbol.
$\square \quad$ If there is a change in the Huffman code tree, re-synthesize the Huffman code tree until it satisfies a Huffman code property.

- Huffman code property:

This means that the structure of Huffman codes takes a form of ordered list by probabilities. This property is also called "Sibling Property".

## Adaptive Huffman code

|  | $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 0 |
| $s_{2}$ | 0.3 |  | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 1 |
| $s_{3}$ | 0.1 | 110 | 0.2 | 11 |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | 0.1 | 111 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $W_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | 50 |  | 50 |  | 50 | 0 |
| $s_{2}$ | 30 |  | 30 | 10 | 50 | 1 |
| $s_{3}$ | 10 | 110 | 20 | 11 |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | 10 | 111 |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ Increment $w_{i}$ when we receive $S_{i}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}\right\}$. If the sibling property doesn't hold, re-synthesize a partial Huffman code tree.

## Adaptive Huffman codes

| Symbol | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 10 | 7 | 5 | 3 |

If we receive 10 times S 4 , how does Huffman tree change?

| \#S4 + 1 | Symbol | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 16 | 0 |
|  | S2 | 7 | 01 | 9 | 007 | 10 | 1 |
|  | S3 | 5 | 0007 | 7 | 01 ] |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 001 ] |  |  |  |  |
| \#S4 + 2 | Symbol | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code |
|  | S1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
|  | S2 | 7 | 01 | 10 | 007 | 10 | 1 |
|  | S3 | 5 | 0007 | 7 | 01 J |  |  |
|  | S4 | 5 | 001 ] |  |  |  |  |

## Adaptive Huffman codes



## Adaptive Huffman codes



## Adaptive Huffman codes



## Adaptive Huffman codes

| \#S4 +9 | Symbol | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code | Freq. | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S4 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 22 | 0 |
|  | S1 | 10 |  | 12 | 007 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 000 |  | 01 ] |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 001 |  |  |  |  |


| symbol | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 | S4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Code | 001 | 001 | 001 | 001 | 10 | 10 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 1 |

## Dictionary code

$\square$ Lempel-Ziv coding:
Coding algorithm using a dictionary (code table) including source symbol sequences had been appeared.

- Do not require a prior probability distributions of source symbols.
- Non-block codes as well as the arithmetic code.
- Compact codes as well as the arithmetic code.
$\square$ In this method, coding from a source symbol sequence to a code sequence is obtained in the following procedure.
- 1. Retrieval: Look for a source symbol sequence in the dictionary.
- 2. Coding: Code a source symbol sequence into a code sequence considering an order in the dictionary.
- 3. Update: Update the dictionary in the decoding side.


## LZ77 algorithm



- Set empty sequence ( $\Lambda$ ) into the reference buffer.
- Set a source symbol sequence into coding buffer.
- Find a max symbol sub-sequence of the source symbol sequence in the reference buffer. Here let sub-sequence starting from left most side in the coding buffer be $U$ and let sub-sequence with the same symbol sequence in the reference buffer be $U$. Let $u$ be a next symbol of $U$, and let $P$ be a starting address pointer of $U$ : Let / be a length of $U$. Now we encode a source symbol sequence into $(P, l, u)$.
- Shift left by $1+1$ bit until there will be no source symbol.


## LZ77 algorithm

source symbol sequence "abcabcdef"

| source symbol code |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| a | a |
| b | b |
| c | c |
| a | $(-3,3, \mathrm{~d})$ |
| b |  |
| c |  |
| d | e |
| e | f |

## LZ77 algorithm

$\square$ Properties of LZ77 algorithm:

- LZ77 approaches to the compact code if the buffer length L and Ls become large.
- Sending $u$ as a first mismatched symbol is inefficient. If 1 is very short, the code length is longer than the original source symbol length. In this case we just send the original source symbol sequence.
- Use a fixed length of U. Also use the relative address from the left most side of coding buffer or use "Recency-Rank" meaning a number of different types of source symbols instead of the relative address.


## LZ78 algorithm

$\square$ LZ78 algorithm:
Universal coding based on "Incremental parsing".
Let the source symbol sequence be,

$$
u=u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{T}
$$

Incremental parsing

$$
u=U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{t+1}
$$

is decomposition into a partial code sequence $\quad U_{m}(0 \leq m \leq t+1)$
$\square \quad$ The partial code sequence satisfies,

- $U_{0}=\Lambda$
- $U_{0}, U_{1} \ldots U_{t}$ are different each other except $U_{t+1}$.
- If we take a last symbol ${ }_{m}, U_{m}(1 \leq m \leq t)$ equals to $U_{s}(0 \leq s \leq m-1)$.


## Example

## [01100110010110000100110]

\[

\]

$\square \quad$ Each $U m$ satisfies three properties and $U_{m}=U_{s} \mu_{m}$. We can code the source symbol sequence into $\left(s, u_{m}\right)$ using $s(0 \leq s \leq m-1)$ and $u_{m}$.

## Example

[01100110010110000100110]

| Time | In |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | Out $\left(s, u_{m}\right)$ | Add to <br> Table | Index |
| $(-, 0)$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | $(-, 1)$ | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 10 | $(1,0)$ | 10 | 2 |
| 3 | 01 | $(0,1)$ | 01 | 3 |
| 4 | 100 | $(2,0)$ | 100 | 4 |
| 5 | 101 | $(2,1)$ | 101 | 5 |
| 6 | 1000 | $(4,0)$ | 1000 | 6 |
| 7 | 010 | $(3,0)$ | 010 | 7 |
| 8 | 011 | $(3,1)$ | 011 | 8 |


| Time | In | Out |  | Add to Table |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Index |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $(1,0)$ | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| 3 | $(0,1)$ | 01 | 01 | 2 |
| 4 | $(2,0)$ | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| 5 | $(2,1)$ | 101 | 101 | 4 |
| 6 | $(4,0)$ | 1000 | 1000 | 5 |
| 7 | $(3,0)$ | 010 | 010 | 6 |
| 8 | $(3,1)$ | 011 | 011 | 7 |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |

## Example

Initial code table

| Input String | Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
|  |  |


| Encoder |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | In | Out $(s)$ | Add to <br> Table | Index |  |
| 0 | 01 | 0 | 01 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 4 |  |
| 3 | 00 | 0 | 00 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 011 | 2 | 011 | 6 |  |
| 5 | 100 | 4 | 100 | 7 |  |
| 6 | 010 | 2 | 010 | 8 |  |
| 7 | 011 | 2 | 011 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Decoder |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | In | Out | Add to <br> Table | Index |
| 0 | 0 | $0(?, 1)$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 01$ | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | $1(? \rightarrow 1)$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 11$ | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | $1(? \rightarrow 0)$ | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 10$ | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | $0(? \rightarrow 0)$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 00$ | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 01( ${ }^{\rightarrow}{ }^{1}$ ) | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 011$ | 6 |
| 5 | 4 | $10(? \rightarrow 0)$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 100$ | 7 |
| 6 | 2 | $01(? \rightarrow 0)$ | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 010$ | 8 |
| 7 | 2 | 01( ${ }^{( } \rightarrow$ ) | ? | 9 |

Move pointer to the position of next decomposed code -1 .

## Example

## Initial code table

| Input String | Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| a | 1 |
| b | 2 |
|  |  |

Ternary Encoder

| Time | Send | New Entry | Index |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 (for 0) | $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0})$ | 3 |
| 1 | 0 (for 0) | $(0,0)$ | 4 |
| 2 | 4 (for 00) | $(\mathbf{0 , 0 , b})$ | 5 |
| 3 | 2 (for b) | $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0})$ | 6 |
| 4 | 3 (for $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}))$ | $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a})$ | 7 |

Ternary Decoder

| In | Out | Reconstructed Sequence | Add to Table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{a}$ | $(a)_{a, ?}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | $(a)_{a, 0}(0)_{0, ?}$ | $(a, 0)(a s 3)$ |
| 4 | $?$ | $(a)_{a, 0}(0)_{0, ?}$ | $?$ |

## LZ78

$\square$ Problems of LZ78

- Coding by $\left(s, u_{m}\right)$ is inefficient since we have to send $u_{\mathrm{m}}$ as it is. The solution is to send only ( $s$ ). This method is used in "compress command" of Unix.
- Incremental parsing stores all symbol sub-sequence in the dictionary and assign addresses.
- This algorithm may cause memory overflow of the dictionary. In such a case we delete LRU (Least Recent Used) entry from the dictionary by "Self-organizing list".


## Other code

$\square$ Run-length code:

- abbbbbbbab: a(b,7)ab


## Rate Distortion

$\square$ Coding with distortion:
An average code length per one source symbol can be reduced if we allow coding distortion. Here, the distortion includes redundancy and errors which prevent uniquely decodability.
$\square$ Distortion measure:
Let $x$ be an source information symbol of $L$.
Let $y$ be a decoded output of the code.
The distance between $x$ and $y$ is $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, and called distortion measure. We evaluate the source coding efficiency by average distortion measure.

$$
\bar{d}=\sum_{x} \sum_{y} d(x, y) p(x, y)
$$

where, $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ are a joint probability distribution of a source symbol variable $X$ and a coded symbol variable $Y$.

## Rate distortion

$\square$ Mutual information:
For a channel without any distortion we can easily know the source symbol $x$ by knowing the decoded output $y$. The average amount of information is $H(X)$. If there is distortion, the average amount of information is,

$$
I(X ; Y)=H(X)-H(X \mid Y)
$$

Therefore the lower bound of the average code length is the mutual information $I(X ; Y)$.
Distortion will be different while the mutual information is the same.
For this case we try to find codes whose distortion $\bar{d}$ satisfies

$$
\bar{d} \leq D .
$$

Under this condition we try to find codes which minimizes the $I(X ; Y)$

$$
R(D)=\min _{d \leq D} I(X ; Y)
$$

This $R(D)$ is called "Rate-Distortion Function" of the information source..

## Rate distortion

$\square$ Definition:
Under the condition that the average distortion is less than D , there exist codes whose average code length per one source symbol satisfy,

$$
R(D)<L \leq R(D)+\varepsilon
$$

for an integer $\varepsilon$.
But there is no codes that has smaller average code length than R(D).

## Rate distortion

$\square$ Derivation of RD function:
The mutual information $I(X ; Y)$ is written in the following given $P_{x}(x)$ and conditional probabilities $P(y \mid x)$,

$$
I(X ; Y)=\sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{y} P(y \mid x) \frac{P(y \mid x)}{P(y)}
$$

We also know $P(y)$ and the conditional probabilities $P(y \mid x)$,

$$
P(y)=\sum_{x} P(x) P(y \mid x)
$$

Next, $\bar{d} \leq D$ is written by,

$$
\bar{d}=\sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{y} P(y \mid x) d(x, y) \leq D
$$

And probability constraints requests,

$$
P(y \mid x) \geq 0 \quad \sum_{y} P(y \mid x)=1
$$

What we need is to minimize $I(X ; Y)$ under the above three constraints by the Lagrangean method.

## Rate distortion


$\square$ Source coding with distortion:
Suppose we choose a symbol sequence $\vec{x}_{i}=\vec{x}_{i 1}, \vec{x}_{i 2}, \ldots \vec{x}_{i n}\left(i=1,2, \ldots k^{n}\right)$
of length n from an information source S with k symbols. Now we choose m codes that gives minimum average distortion.

$$
C_{D}=\left\{\vec{W}_{j}=w_{j 1}, w_{j 2}, \ldots, w_{j n}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)\right\}
$$

, here the average distortion is given by,

$$
\overline{d_{n}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k^{n}} d_{n}\left(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{w}_{j}(i)\right) \cdot p\left(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{w}_{j}(i)\right)
$$

is $\vec{w}_{j(i)}$ minimizing $\left\{d\left(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{w}_{j}\right) ; j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$, that is,

$$
j(i)=\underset{j}{\arg \min } d_{n}\left(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{w}_{j}\right)=\underset{\text { Prof. Satoshi Nakamura }}{\arg \min } \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{n}\left(x_{i}, w_{j}\right)
$$

## Rate distortion

$\square$ Then apply distortion-less source coding. This method provides average distortion $\bar{d} / n$ per each source symbol.
$\square$ Decoding:
Decoding can be obtained by finding $\vec{x}_{i}$ that minimizes the distortion to code word $\vec{w}_{j}$.

- Maximum likelihood decoding:

Find $\vec{x}_{m}$ that satisfies,

$$
p\left(\vec{W}_{j}, \vec{x}_{m}\right)>p\left(\vec{W}_{j}, \vec{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right)
$$

for all m' except m.

## Rate distortion

$\square$ Maximum a posteriori probability decoding: Find $\vec{x}_{m}$, which maximizes,

$$
p\left(\vec{x}_{m} \mid \vec{w}_{j}\right)=\frac{p\left(\vec{x}_{m}\right) \cdot p\left(\vec{w}_{j} \mid \vec{x}_{m}\right)}{p\left(\vec{w}_{j}\right)}
$$

However, a prior probability $P\left(\vec{x}_{m}\right)$ needs to be given. This method is equivalent to a method maximizes the mutual information.

$$
I\left(\vec{w}_{j} ; \vec{x}_{m}\right)=E\left[\log \frac{p\left(\vec{w}_{j} \mid \vec{x}_{m}\right)}{p\left(\vec{w}_{j}\right)}\right]
$$

## Binary source

$\square$ Suppose we have a binary information source of $\{0,1\}$ with probabilities of $\mathrm{p}, 1-\mathrm{p}$ and let a bit error rate be distortion measure.

$$
d(x, y)= \begin{cases}0 & x=y \\ 1 & x \neq y\end{cases}
$$

$\square$ This source coding can be thought as a test transmission channel problem where the following mutual information is minimized under distortion $\bar{d}$,

$$
I(X ; Y)=H(X)-X(X \mid Y)
$$



## Rate distortion

$\square \quad \mathrm{Y}$ can be thought as a symbol of which an error symbol added to a source symbol x is with probability $\bar{d}$. Here, since the addition is "XOR",
$Y=X \oplus E$ is equivalent to $X=Y \oplus E$, then,

$$
H(X \mid Y)=H(Y \oplus E \mid Y)=H(E \mid Y)
$$

Furthermore, let $\tilde{H}(p)$ be a zero memory binary source,

$$
\tilde{H}(p)=-p \log p-(1-p) \log (1-p) .
$$

If the error source is zero-memory source, $H(E)=\tilde{H}(\bar{d})$ holds, and even if the error source has a memory, $H(E) \leq \tilde{H}(\bar{d})$ holds.

$$
H(E \mid Y) \leq H(E) \leq \tilde{H}(\bar{d})
$$

Therefore,

$$
H(X \mid Y) \leq \tilde{H}(\bar{d})
$$

## Rate distortion

If $0 \leq D \leq 0.5$, the Entropy function says,

$$
\bar{d} \leq D \Rightarrow \tilde{H}(\bar{d}) \leq \tilde{H}(D)
$$

then,

$$
I(X ; Y) \geq \tilde{H}(p)-\tilde{H}(\bar{d}) \geq \tilde{H}(p)-\tilde{H}(D)
$$

Finally, we have a RD function in the following.

$$
R(D)=\tilde{H}(p)-\tilde{H}(D)
$$

## Rate distortion

$\square$ RD function for a binary information source


## Source coding of analog information

$\square$ Analog source coding:
Here we treat analog source information that can take continuous value not a symbol. (ex. Speech, Image, Sensory input)

(a) Analog signal


(b) Sampling


Quantization

## Sampling

$\square$ If the frequency band is limited to $0-\mathrm{W}[\mathrm{Hz}]$, the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})$ can be written by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(t)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} X_{k} \frac{\sin \pi\left(2 W_{t}-k\right)}{\pi\left(2 W_{t}-k\right)} \\
X_{k}=f(k / 2 W) k=\ldots-1,0,1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$



## Sampling

$\square$ Let spectrum of $f(t)$ be a $F(w)$, it can be written,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i w t} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{w})$ is band limited in $-2 \pi W \leq w \leq 2 \pi W$, it can be transformed by
Fourier expansion.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi k w}{4 \pi W}}=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k} e^{-i \frac{k w}{2 W}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

, here

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{1}{4 \pi W} \int_{-2 \pi W}^{2 \pi W} F(w) e^{i \frac{k}{2 w}} d w \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) ,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(w) e^{i w t} d w \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-2 \pi V}^{2 \pi W} F(w) e^{i w t} d w \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Sampling

Now we set $t=\frac{k}{2 W}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{k}{2 W}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-2 \pi W}^{2 \pi W} F(w) e^{i w \frac{k}{2 W}} d w \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

comparing (3) and (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{1}{2 W} f\left(\frac{k}{2 W}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(w)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 W} f\left(\frac{k}{2 W}\right) e^{-i w \frac{k}{2 W}}  \tag{7}\\
& f(t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi W} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{2 W}\right) \int_{-2 \pi W}^{2 \pi W} e^{i w\left(t-\frac{k}{2 W}\right)} d w \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{2 W}\right) \frac{\sin \pi(2 W t-k)}{\pi(2 W t-k)} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## Entropy of analog source

$\square$ The Entropy for digital source is defined,

$$
H=-\sum_{i} p_{i} \log p_{i}
$$

How can we define Entropy for stochastic variable $x$ that takes continuous value?
Now we divide a region into small region $\Delta x$ of $x$. The probability of which $x$ takes a value between xi and $x_{i}+\Delta x$ can be approximated by,

$$
p\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x
$$

The smaller the $\Delta x$ is, the better approximation we have. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime} & =\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0}\left(-\sum_{i} p\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x \log \left\{p\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x\right\}\right) \\
& =\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0}\left(-\sum_{i} p\left(x_{i}\right)\left\{\log p\left(x_{i}\right)\right\} \Delta x+\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0}\left(-\sum_{i} p\left(x_{i}\right)\{\log \Delta x\} \Delta\right.\right. \\
& =-\int p(x) \log p(x) d x-\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \log \Delta x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Entropy of analog source

$\square$ The second term goes to infinity. We only use this Entropy to compare various analog sources. We define Entropy of analog source only by the first term.

$$
H=-\int p(x) \log p(x) d x
$$

$\square$ Unit Entropy:
The analog source has $n$ stochastic variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we define
Entropy by,

$$
H=-\int \ldots \int p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) \log p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \ldots d x_{n}
$$

The Entropy per one variable is,

$$
H=-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \ldots \int p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) \log p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \ldots d x_{n}
$$

This is called an unit Entropy. And, Entropy normalized by T is called an Entropy per second, H'. By $n=2 T W$, the following relationship holds.

$$
H^{\prime}=2 W H
$$

## Conditional Entropy

$\square$ Definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(Y \mid X)=\int p(x) H(Y \mid x) d x=-\iint p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)} d x d y \\
& H(X \mid Y)=\int p(y) H(X \mid y) d y=-\iint p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(y)} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

,here $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{y})$ are marginal probability distributions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x)=\int p(x, y) d y \\
& p(y)=\int p(x, y) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The following relationship holds as well as in the digital information source.

$$
H(X, Y) \leq H(X)+H(Y)
$$

With equality if and only if,

$$
p(x, y)=p(x) \cdot p(y)
$$

## Entropy of Gaussian distribution

$\square$ Probability distribution of Gaussian (Normal) distribution is,

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp -\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

The Entropy is given,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X) & =-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) d x \\
& =-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\left\{\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)\right\} d x \\
& =\log \sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \\
& =\log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Entropy of analog source

$\square$ Gaussian process:
[Definition] Let probability distribution of variables $X_{t 1}, X_{t 2}, \ldots, X_{t n}$ at time $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ be $P\left(X_{t}, X_{t 2}, \ldots, X_{t n}\right)$. If $P$ is subject to multi-dimensional Gaussian distribution, we call this process as a Gaussian process. If this process is subject to stationary Markov process, we call it a stationary Markov process. If a power spectrum density $n(w)$ of Gaussian process has a constant value regardless to frequencies, we call it a white Gaussian noise or process.
If a white Gaussian noise is band limited in frequency range $W$,

$$
n(w)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\frac{N_{0}}{2} & f \mid \leq W & (|w| \leq 2 \pi W) \\
0 & |f|>W & (|w|>2 \pi W)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore if a time period this white Gaussian noise is limited in $T$, this process is determined by a sample by $1 / 2 W, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 T W}$. Let a power at each sample be , the Entropy at each point is given by,

$$
H=\log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Entropy of analog source

$\square$ Therefore a Entropy for all 2TW samples is,

$$
H_{\text {total }}=2 T W \log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Maximum Entropy

$\square$ Distribution function with maximum Entropy:
Find a probability distribution function with a maximum Entropy under specific conditions. Now we have following relationships,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \varphi_{1}(x, p(x)) d x=k_{1} \\
\quad \int_{a_{2}}^{b_{2}} \varphi_{2}(x, p(x)) d x=k_{2} \\
\cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \varphi_{n}(x, p(x)) d x=k_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

We find $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ that maximizes an objective function I by the Lagrangean method.

$$
I=\int_{a}^{b} F(x, p(x)) d x
$$

## Maximum Entropy

$\square \quad$ [Case an average power of x given]
Let an average power to be $\sigma^{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(X)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) d x \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p(x) d x=\sigma^{2} \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) d x=1
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ maximizes $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X})$ by,

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp -\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

The Entropy with the $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ is,

$$
H(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) d x=\log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Maximum Entropy

$\square$ Maximum Entropy Theorem:
A probability distribution function of an average power $\sigma^{2}$ that has a maximum Entropy is Gaussian distribution.

$$
p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp -\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

The Entropy of Gaussian distribution is given by,

$$
H(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) d x=\log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Mutual information

$\square \quad$ Let joint probability distribution be $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, If we divide a region of x into $\Delta x$ and a region of $y$ into $\Delta y$. Here $p\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x, p\left(y_{i}\right) \Delta y, p\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \Delta x \Delta y$ are probabilities for x takes a value between x and $x+\Delta x, y$ takes a value between $y$ and $y+\Delta y, x$ and $y$ jointly take values in the region, respectively. The mutual information is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(x_{i} ; y_{i}\right) & =\log \frac{p\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \Delta x \Delta y}{p\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x p\left(y_{i}\right) \Delta y} \\
& =\log \frac{p\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{p\left(x_{i}\right) p\left(y_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mutual information

$\square$ An average mutual information is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X ; Y) & =\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow \Delta \Delta y \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i} \sum\left[p\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \Delta x \Delta y\right] \log \frac{p\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)}{p\left(x_{i}\right) p\left(y_{i}\right)} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x) p(y)} d x d y \\
& =H(Y)-H(Y \mid X) \\
& =H(X)-H(X \mid Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})$ is non negative value,

$$
I(X ; Y) \geq 0
$$

with equality if and only if,

$$
p(x, y)=p(x)(y)
$$

## Rate distortion for analog source

$\square$ Rate distortion function:
Let an average distortion rate be $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, the average distortion is given by,

$$
\bar{d}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(x, y) p(x, y) d x d y
$$

here, $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is a joint probability distribution function of a source sample value x and its decoded result y . We have a Rate-distortion function in the similar manner as the discrete symbol case.

$$
R(D)=\min _{d \leq D} I(X ; Y)
$$

Let $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D})$ bit/sample be the minimum mutual information $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})$ of X and Y under condition that the average distortion $d$ is smaller than the threshold $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D})$ provides the lower bound of the average code length per source symbol when we code it by the binary codes under the condition that is smaller than D .

## Rate distortion of Gaussian source

$\square$ We use the mean squire error,

$$
d(x, y)=(x-y)^{2}
$$

The average distortion is given an average squire error.

$$
\bar{d}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) p(y \mid x)(x-y)^{2} d x d y \leq D
$$

Under the above condition, we minimize $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})$ with $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{x})$,

$$
I(X ; Y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(y \mid x) \log \frac{p(y \mid x)}{p(y)} d y\right] d x
$$

, here
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) p(y \mid x) d x \\
I(X ; Y)=H(X)-H(X \mid Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

If the information is Gaussian source, we can use,

$$
H(X)=\log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}
$$

We maximize $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y})$ instead of minimizing $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})$.

## Rate distortion of Gaussian source

$\square \quad$ Let $Z$ be a probabilistic variable $Z=X-Y$,

$$
H(X \mid Y)=H(X-Y \mid Y)=H(Z \mid Y) \leq H(Z)
$$

with equality if and only if Z and Y are independent. $\bar{d}$ is smaller than D .

$$
\bar{d}=\bar{Z}^{2}<D
$$

$H(Z)$ will be maximized when $p(y \mid x)$ follows a Gaussian distribution of mean 0 and variation D according to the maximum Entropy theorem.
Then we have,

$$
H(Z)=\log \sqrt{2 \pi e D}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X ; Y) & \geq \log \sqrt{2 \pi e \sigma^{2}}-\log \sqrt{2 \pi e D} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^{2}}{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D})$ is given by,

$$
R(D)=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^{2}}{D} \quad \mathrm{Bit} / \text { sample }
$$

## Rate distortion of Gaussian source

$\square$ When the source signal is band-limited to $0-\mathrm{W}$, we can have 2 W samples per second, the rate-distortion function per second is given by,

$$
R(D)=W \log \frac{\sigma^{2}}{D}
$$



## Coding of analog signal

$\square$ Scalar quantization:
Scalar quantization is a discretization of value of a source sample.
We call this sample as a quantized sample. If we use B bit binary representation, a quantized sample is represented by 2 B bits.
Therefore, the necessary information for transmission or storage is,

$$
I=B \cdot F_{s} \quad \mathrm{Bit} / \text { second }
$$

This coding is called PCM (Pulse Code mudulation). Important thing is to reduce necessary bit rates. Therefore, we utilize a probability distribution of the amplitude distribution. The quantization that minimize mean square errors with fixed quantization level N is called a optimal quantization property.

## Coding of analog source

$\square$ Signal to Noise ratio:

$$
S N R=\frac{E\left[x^{2}(n)\right]}{E\left[e^{2}(n)\right]}=\frac{\sum_{n} x^{2}(n)}{\sum_{n} e^{2}(n)}=\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}
$$

Let peak-to-peak ratio of the target signal be 2Xmax, the quantization level of B bit quantization is,

$$
\Delta=\frac{2 X_{\max }}{2^{B}}
$$

If we assume that the noise amplitude distribution is uniform, we get,

$$
E\left[e^{2}(n)\right]=\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} x^{2} d x=\frac{\Delta^{2}}{12}=\frac{x_{\max }^{2}}{3 \cdot 2^{2 B}}
$$

SNR will be,

$$
S N R=3 \cdot 2^{2 B}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{X_{\max }}\right)^{2}
$$

Representation in dB will be,

$$
S N R[d B]=6 B+4.77-20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{X_{\max }}{\sigma_{x}}\right)
$$

## Coding of analog source

$\square$ Transform coding:
If we have consecutive two sample $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2$ that have a uniform probability distribution depicted in figure, where $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2)$ is,

$$
p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=p(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{a b} & x \in C \\
0 & \in C
\end{array}\right.
$$

range of x 1 , and x 2 values is, $\frac{a+b}{-2 \sqrt{2}}<x_{1}, x_{2}<\frac{a+b}{2 \sqrt{2}}$
Quantization level will be, $\quad L_{1}=L_{2}=\frac{a+b}{\sqrt{2} \Delta}$
We need $B_{x}=\log L_{1} \log L_{2}=\log \frac{(a+b)^{2}}{2 \Delta^{2}}$ bits to quantize $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2)$ bits. If we rotate 45 degree to have new basis ( $\mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{u} 2$ ). U1 and u 2 are independent, necessary quantization levels are L1 for $u 1$, and L2 for $u 2$.

$$
L_{1}=\frac{a}{\Delta}, L_{2}=\frac{b}{\Delta}
$$

## Coding of analog source

$\square$ Namely we need $B_{u}=\log L_{1} \log L_{2}=\log \frac{a b}{\Delta^{2}}$ bits to quantize $\mathrm{u}=(\mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{u} 2)$.
For example if $a=2 b$,

$$
B_{x}-B_{u}=1.17
$$



三

## Vector quantization

$\square$ A method quantizes not a single sample but a set of $n$ samples.
$\square$ Suppose we have source samples that are independent each other and have a uniform distribution. This quantization is equivalent to assigning this sample to a center point of he square area that is made by splitting $\mathrm{x} 0, \mathrm{x} 12$ dimensional area by squares. The size of the area is $\Delta^{2}$, and quantization error is $\Delta^{2} / 6$, average mean square error per one sample is $\Delta^{2} / 12$, this is a same as scalar quantization.
$\square$ If we change the shape of the region to a hexagon, the size of the area is $3 \sqrt{3} \delta^{2} / 2$ and average quantization error is $5 \sqrt{3} \delta^{2} / 8$ with the same number of the representative points.
$\square \quad$ If we set the area size to be the same of the square and the hexagon, the average power of the hexagon becomes $5 \sqrt{3} / 9=0.962$

## Vector quantization


(a) Representative points of a square

## Vector quantization

$\square$ Vector quantization is a quantization method that codes a source sample ( $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ ) composed of $n$ consecutive samples to a closest representative code chosen from representative codes in $n$ dimensional sample space $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)$.
$\square$ If we apply vector quantization to a source sample so as to minimize an average distortion and apply distortion-less source coding, we can have a code, of which average length per sample approaches to the lower bound $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D})$ according to the size of $n$.

## Vector quantization

$\square$ Representative points are called code words or code vectors. A set of code words is called a codebook.
$\square$ Codebook design algorithm:
There is no optimal algorithm for the codebook design. Here we introduce a semi-optimal iterative codebook design algorithm. Now we have k training samples $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ and centroids defined in the following.

$$
\hat{x}=C\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\underset{x}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{k} d\left(x, x_{i}\right)
$$

, here $\arg _{\min }^{x} \boldsymbol{f}(n)$ means an operation to find n minimizes $f(n)$.

## Vector quantization

$\square$ LBG(Linde,Buzo,Gray) Algorithm

- Initialization(Step1)

Let training sample set be $x j, j=0, \ldots, n-1$,
$\mathrm{N}:$ Codebook size, $\mathrm{m}=0, \mathcal{E}$ : distortion, and $D_{-1}=\boldsymbol{o}^{\infty}$
Set an initial codebook $A_{N}^{(0)}=y_{0}^{(0)}, \ldots, y_{N-1}^{(0)}$ randomly.

- Partitioning(Step2)

Cluster xj into N partial sets $\mathrm{Si}: i=0, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ by $A_{N}^{(m)}$.
$\hat{i}=\arg \min _{i} d\left(x_{j}, y_{i}^{(m)}\right), \quad x_{j} \in S_{\hat{i}}$
, here the average distortion is given by,

$$
D_{m}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} N \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\{d\left(x_{i}, y_{i}^{(m)}\right) \mid \quad x_{j} \in S_{i}\right\}
$$

$\square$ If $\left(D_{m-1}-D_{m}\right) / D_{m}<\varepsilon$, then stop, else set $A_{N}^{(m)}$ be a codebook.

- Calculate $A_{N}^{(m+1)}=y_{0}^{(m+1)}, \ldots, y_{N-1}^{(m+1)}, \quad y_{i}^{(m+1)}=C\left(\left\{S_{i}\right\}\right) m \leftarrow m+1$ go to step 2 .


## Vector quantization

$\square$ Splitting algorithm:

- (Step1)Initialization:
$\Delta$ : Arbitrary vector with small norm.
$M=1, \quad A_{0,1}=C\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$
- (Step2)Split $A_{0, M}=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{M-1}\right)$ into neighboring two vectors, $y_{i}+\Delta, y_{i}-\Delta$ Let $\left\{y_{0}-\Delta, y_{0}+\Delta, y_{i}-\Delta, y_{i}+\Delta, \ldots, y_{M-1}-\Delta, y_{M-1}+\Delta\right\}$ be, $A_{0,2 M}=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 M-1}\right\}$
- (Step3)Letting $A_{0,2 M}$ be initial values, find sub-optimal codebook $A_{0,2 M}=\left\{y_{i} ; i=0,1, \ldots, y_{2 M-1}\right\}$ by a LBG algorithm. If $M=N$ then stop, else set $M=2 \mathrm{~N}$ and go to step 2 .
- Splitting and LBG algorithm generate a codebook of size $2^{\mathrm{N}}$.


## $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{R})$ function

$\square$ Distortion rate function:
Let $x$ be $N$ consecutive samples of $x(n)$, vector quantization that codes $x$ into $y$ with a codebook size of $L$ is given by,

$$
D_{N}(R)=\min _{y} E[d(x, y)]
$$

, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{N} H(y) \leq R \\
D(R)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} D_{N}(R)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{R})$ represents a minimul average distortion with given range of the rate R. On the other hand, $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{D})$ represents a maximum rate or minimum average code length with given range of the distortion D .

## Vector quantization

$\square$ Tree search VQ:
Make tree structure codebook. Each node in the tree represents a code obtained in the splitting algorithm. The computation of the tree search VQ is $K \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}$ to compared to $\mathrm{K} * \mathrm{~N}$ with a parameter dimension of $K$. The memory size increases about to twice.


Code output

## Multi-step VQ

$\square$ Combine multiple vector quantizers to reduce calculation. Codes of each quantizers are sent to the channel. Number of multiplication can be reduced from $\mathrm{K}^{*} \mathrm{~N}^{*} \mathrm{M}$ to $\mathrm{K} *(\mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{M})$.


## Gain/Shape vector quantization

$\square$ Gain/Shape vector quantization:
Codebook is composed of multiplication of $N_{g}$ scalar values, $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{\mathrm{Ng}}$ and $N_{g}$ unit vectors, $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{N g}$.

$$
g_{i} \times u_{j}, i=1,2, \ldots, N_{g}, j=1,2, \ldots, N_{s}
$$

, here we call $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots g_{N g}$ a gain codebook, and $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{N s}$ a shape codebook. Coding algorithm is shown in the following.

- Shape quantization:

Make inner product between an input vector x and u in the shape codebook $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{\text {Ns }}$ and find a unit vector $u_{l}$ gives maximum inner product.

- Gain quantization:

Find a closest scalar value from a gain codebook $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{\mathrm{Ng}}$ to the maximum inner product of $(x, u)$. Here $g_{k}{ }^{*} u_{t}$ is a quantization vector out of $N_{g}{ }^{*} N_{s}$ quantization samples. Therefore number of calculation is reduced from $K * N_{g}{ }^{*} N_{s}$ to $K * N_{s}$ and memory size from $N_{g}{ }^{*} N_{s}$ to $K *\left(N_{s}+N_{g}\right.$ ).

## Gain/shape vector quantization



## Speech Coding



## Waveform Coding

$\square \quad$ PCM (Pulse Code Modulation) used in CD, DAT


If signal is band-limited to $0-\mathrm{W}[\mathrm{Hz}]$

$$
T \leq \frac{1}{2 W}
$$

T: Sampling Interval [s]

$$
x(t)=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} x(i T) \frac{\sin \left\{\frac{\pi}{T}(t-i T)\right\}}{\bar{T}(t-i T)}
$$

## Waveform coding (PCM)

$\square$ Quantization
Let quantization step to be $\Delta$, quantization bit to be $B$, range of signal amplitude to be L.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta 2^{B} & \geq L \\
B & \geq \log _{2}\left(\frac{L}{\Delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Waveform coding

$\square$ Speech waveform


Non-uniform

$$
\mu-l a w
$$

## Waveform coding (u-law)

$\square \mu$-law is used for ISDN.


## Waveform coding (DPCM)

$\square$ DPCM (Differential PCM)


## Waveform coding (DPCM)

$\square \quad$ If quantization step $\Delta$ is 1 , quantization bit $B$ is 5 .

| $x_{n}$ | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 5.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{x}_{n-1}$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| $e_{n}$ | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | -2.7 |
| $\hat{e}_{n}$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | -3 |
| $\hat{x}_{n}$ | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| $c_{n}$ | 10011 | 10001 | 10011 | 10001 | 00011 |

## Waveform coding (APCM)

$\square \quad$ APCM (Adaptive PCM)


## Waveform coding (APCM)

$\square \quad$ APCM (Adaptive PCM)

| Quant. Bits | $M\left(\left\|L_{n-1}\right\|\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $0.6,2.2$ |
| 3 | $0.85,1,1,1.5$ |
| 4 | $0.8,0.8,0.8,0.8$ |
|  | $1.2,1.6,2.0,2.4$ |
|  | $0.85,0.85,0.85,0.85$ |
|  | $0.85,0.85,0.85,0.85$ |
| 5 | $1.2,1.4,1.6,1.8$ |
|  | $2.0,2.2,2.4,2.6$ |

## Waveform coding (ADPCM)

$\square$ ADPCM (Adaptive Differential PCM)


## Waveform coding (ADPCM)

$\square$ ADPCM (Adaptive Differential PCM)

| Quant. Bits | $M\left(\left\|L_{n-1}\right\|\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $0.8,1.6$ |
| 3 | $0.9,0.9,1.25,1.75$ |
| 4 | $0.9,0.9,0.9,0.9$ |
|  | $1.2,1.6,2.0,2.4$ |
|  | $0.9,0.9,0.9,0.9$ |
|  | $0.95,0.95,0.95,0.95$ |
| 5 | $1.2,1.5,1.8,2.1$ |
|  | $2.4,2.7,3.0,3.3$ |

## Parametric speech coding



## Parametric speech coding



## Parametric speech coding

$\square$ Points of the parametric speech model

- Approximation of excitation signal by the Impulse sequence.
- Bit rates can decrease.
- However, speech quality degrades seriously.


## Parametric speech coding (CELP)

$\square$ CELP (Code-excited Linear Prediction): Cellular phones


## Parametric speech coding (CELP)

$\square \quad$ CELP (Code-excited Linear Prediction): Cellular phones


## Speech coding



## Music coding

$\square$ Usage of auditory characteristics for coding not of source model.


## Music coding

$\square$ Frequency masking


## Music coding

$\square$ Temporal Masking


## Music coding

## 【MPEG1 Audio (Moving Picture Experts Group)】



## Music coding

## 【ATRAC (Adaptive TRansform Acoustic Coding)】



## MP3: MPEG-1/L3, MPEG-2



